

ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856 37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA • 61 3 9729 0333 ^Fx 61 3 9729 8338 (i) info@ore.com.au (ii) www.ore.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

HIGH SULPHIDATION EPITHERMAL Ag-Cu-Au ORE CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL OREAS 600

Constituent	Certified	1SD	95% Confid	dence Limits	95% Tolera	ance Limits
Constituent	Value	130	Low	High	Low	High
Fire Assay						
Au, Gold (ppm)	0.200	0.006	0.198	0.202	0.197*	0.204*
4-Acid Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	24.8	1.01	24.3	25.2	24.1	25.4
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	6.78	0.252	6.66	6.90	6.54	7.02
As, Arsenic (ppm)	89	7.2	85	92	84	94
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	1.69	0.18	1.61	1.77	1.59	1.79
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	6.39	0.81	6.05	6.73	6.01	6.77
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	1.88	0.073	1.85	1.91	1.84	1.92
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	3.37	0.320	3.24	3.51	3.17	3.58
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	47.7	1.70	46.3	49.1	46.1	49.3
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	7.06	0.85	6.65	7.46	6.70	7.41
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	27.5	4.2	25.5	29.5	23.4	31.6
Cs, Cesium (ppm)	9.42	0.651	8.97	9.86	9.04	9.80
Cu, Copper (ppm)	482	22.6	472	492	470	494
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	2.05	0.117	1.93	2.17	1.96	2.15
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.97	0.065	0.88	1.05	0.92	1.01
Eu, Europium (ppm)	1.03	0.19	0.83	1.23	0.98	1.08
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.38	0.118	2.32	2.43	2.32	2.43
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	18.7	1.31	18.1	19.4	17.8	19.7
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	3.12	0.45	2.62	3.63	2.95	3.30
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	2.90	0.118	2.81	2.98	2.78	3.01
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.36	0.026	0.32	0.39	0.32	0.39

Table 1. Certified Values, SD's, 95% Confidence and Tolerance Limits for OREAS 600.

*Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 30g fire assay charge weight determined from 20 x 1g NAA results and the Sampling Constant (Ingamells & Switzer, 1973).

Please note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.



		Table 1 c	ontinued.			
Constituent	Certified	1SD		dence Limits		ance Limits
	Value		Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued	0.75	0.070	0.70		0.00	0.00
In, Indium (ppm)	0.75	0.070	0.70	0.80	0.68	0.82
K, Potassium (wt.%)	1.80	0.067	1.77	1.84	1.73	1.87
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	23.0	2.9	21.6	24.3	22.2	23.7
Li, Lithium (ppm)	18.7	1.31	18.0	19.4	18.0	19.3
Lu, Lutetium (ppm)	0.13	0.009	0.12	0.14	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	0.772	0.035	0.756	0.787	0.756	0.787
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.071	0.004	0.069	0.073	0.069	0.073
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	2.20	0.46	1.99	2.41	1.97	2.44
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.587	0.027	0.574	0.599	0.574	0.599
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	7.98	0.510	7.67	8.29	7.62	8.34
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	19.5	0.97	18.5	20.5	18.9	20.1
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	16.5	2.8	15.3	17.7	13.9	19.1
P, Phosphorus (wt.%)	0.060	0.001	0.059	0.060	0.057	0.062
Pb, Lead (ppm)	193	13.6	187	199	186	200
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	5.42	0.340	4.97	5.87	5.25	5.59
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	75	5.5	72	79	73	77
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	1.69	0.039	1.68	1.71	1.64	1.74
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	14.3	1.8	13.6	15.1	13.8	14.9
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	5.95	0.219	5.84	6.06	5.58	6.32
Se, Selenium (ppm)	6.97	1.35	6.00	7.95	6.06	7.89
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	3.56	0.225	3.33	3.79	3.38	3.73
Sn, Tin (ppm)	2.12	0.196	1.95	2.28	1.97	2.26
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	186	8.4	183	190	182	191
Ta, Tantalum (ppm)	0.63	0.11	0.52	0.75	0.58	0.69
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.40	0.05	0.35	0.45	0.37	0.43
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	7.34	0.585	6.92	7.77	6.92	7.77
Th, Thorium (ppm)	9.38	0.514	8.97	9.80	8.99	9.78
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.242	0.014	0.235	0.249	0.235	0.250
TI, Thallium (ppm)	1.11	0.059	1.08	1.14	1.05	1.17
U, Uranium (ppm)	2.69	0.150	2.58	2.79	2.59	2.78
V, Vanadium (ppm)	45.4	2.31	44.4	46.4	43.7	47.1
W, Tungsten (ppm)	4.20	0.68	3.70	4.69	3.90	4.50
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	9.93	0.481	9.64	10.21	9.49	10.37
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.91	0.064	0.86	0.97	0.87	0.95
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	615	23.2	604	625	591	638
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	94	3.0	92	95	91	96
Aqua Regia Digestion				<u> </u>		I
Ag, Silver (ppm)	24.3	0.90	23.9	24.8	23.7	24.9
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	0.984	0.147	0.908	1.061	0.957	1.012
As, Arsenic (ppm)	85	7.1	82	88	83	88
Au, Gold (ppm)	0.192	0.011	0.187	0.198	0.189 [†]	0.196 [†]

[†]Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 25g aqua regia sample weight determined as above. Please note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.



O and the set	Certified		ontinued. 95% Confid	dence Limits	95% Tolera	ance Limits
Constituent	Value	1SD	Low	High	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion conti	nued					
B, Boron (ppm)	< 10	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	0.70	0.058	0.67	0.73	0.66	0.74
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	6.09	1.14	5.63	6.56	5.79	6.40
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	1.76	0.119	1.70	1.81	1.73	1.79
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	3.50	0.327	3.34	3.65	3.32	3.67
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	34.8	2.89	32.9	36.7	33.7	35.9
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	6.69	0.588	6.45	6.92	6.39	6.98
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	23.4	3.0	22.3	24.6	21.2	25.6
Cs, Cesium (ppm)	2.68	0.53	2.16	3.21	2.57	2.79
Cu, Copper (ppm)	488	19.4	480	496	478	498
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	1.26	0.062	1.20	1.33	1.22	1.31
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.54	0.027	0.50	0.57	0.52	0.56
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.59	0.040	0.54	0.64	0.56	0.61
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.22	0.110	2.17	2.27	2.18	2.26
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	4.16	0.70	3.67	4.64	3.93	4.38
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	1.97	0.141	1.81	2.13	1.91	2.02
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	0.22	0.04	0.17	0.26	0.19	0.24
Hg, Mercury (ppm)	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.22	0.008	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.23
In, Indium (ppm)	0.73	0.10	0.68	0.78	0.69	0.77
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.209	0.028	0.194	0.223	0.200	0.217
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	18.3	1.43	17.6	18.9	17.7	18.8
Li, Lithium (ppm)	6.54	1.04	5.89	7.18	6.24	6.84
Lu, Lutetium (ppm)	0.063	0.005	0.058	0.067	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	0.342	0.057	0.316	0.368	0.332	0.352
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.068	0.003	0.067	0.070	0.067	0.070
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	1.92	0.31	1.78	2.05	1.69	2.14
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.051	0.007	0.047	0.054	IND	IND
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	14.1	1.05	12.9	15.2	13.7	14.5
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	15.4	1.8	14.7	16.0	13.4	17.3
P, Phosphorus (wt.%)	0.050	0.003	0.049	0.051	0.048	0.052
Pb, Lead (ppm)	157	5.0	155	158	152	161
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	4.04	0.144	3.85	4.22	3.90	4.17
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	1.66	0.062	1.63	1.69	1.63	1.69
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	< 15	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	2.06	0.24	1.94	2.18	1.95	2.17
Se, Selenium (ppm)	6.77	0.83	6.28	7.27	6.33	7.22
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	2.49	0.184	2.29	2.69	2.39	2.59
Sn, Tin (ppm)	1.18	0.12	1.06	1.30	1.11	1.25
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	38.4	4.1	36.5	40.3	37.3	39.4
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.25	0.021	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.27
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	6.80	0.74	6.24	7.35	6.55	7.05

Please note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.



Table 1 continued.												
Constituent	Certified	1SD	95% Confid	dence Limits	95% Tolera	ance Limits						
Constituent	Value	130	Low	High	Low	High						
Aqua Regia Digestion continu	ied											
Th, Thorium (ppm)	4.91	0.306	4.67	5.14	4.72	5.10						
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND						
TI, Thallium (ppm)	0.56	0.052	0.52	0.60	0.54	0.58						
U, Uranium (ppm)	1.02	0.098	0.95	1.10	0.98	1.07						
V, Vanadium (ppm)	12.9	2.1	11.9	14.0	12.1	13.7						
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	5.98	0.426	5.70	6.26	5.76	6.20						
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.43	0.025	0.42	0.44	0.41	0.44						
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	598	35.3	582	613	584	611						
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	10.2	2.0	8.9	11.6	10.0	10.5						
Infrared Combustion				••								
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	1.67	0.040	1.65	1.69	1.64	1.70						

Please note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value				
Pb Fire Assay	1			1								
Ag	ppm	14.0	Pd	ppb	< 5	Pt	ppb	< 5				
Borate Fusion XRF												
Al ₂ O ₃	wt.%	13.39	Fe ₂ O ₃	wt.%	3.44	Pb	ppm	190				
As	ppm	100	K ₂ O	wt.%	2.24	SiO ₂	wt.%	68.05				
Ва	ppm	1865	MgO	wt.%	1.36	Sn	ppm	12.5				
CaO	wt.%	2.70	MnO	wt.%	0.105	SO ₃	wt.%	4.11				
Со	ppm	10.0	Na ₂ O	wt.%	0.835	TiO ₂	wt.%	0.417				
Cr	ppm	40.0	Ni	ppm	< 10	U	ppm	< 10				
Cu	ppm	475	P_2O_5	wt.%	0.143	Zn	ppm	585				
Thermogravimetry												
LOI ¹⁰⁰⁰	wt.%	4.52										
Laser Ablation ICP-MS												
Ag	ppm	20.9	Ho	ppm	0.36	Sn	ppm	3.00				
As	ppm	85	In	ppm	0.73	Sr	ppm	190				
Ва	ppm	1855	La	ppm	27.0	Та	ppm	0.67				
Be	ppm	0.80	Lu	ppm	0.14	Tb	ppm	0.35				
Bi	ppm	6.23	Mn	wt.%	0.082	Те	ppm	7.20				
Cd	ppm	2.95	Мо	ppm	2.40	Th	ppm	9.92				
Ce	ppm	48.1	Nb	ppm	8.27	Ti	wt.%	0.249				
Со	ppm	7.10	Nd	ppm	20.0	TI	ppm	1.10				
Cr	ppm	46.0	Ni	ppm	17.0	Tm	ppm	0.15				
Cs	ppm	9.34	Pb	ppm	190	U	ppm	2.76				
Cu	ppm	461	Pr	ppm	5.59	V	ppm	47.1				
Dy	ppm	2.04	Rb	ppm	73	W	ppm	3.68				
Er	ppm	1.03	Re	ppm	0.008	Y	ppm	10.8				
Eu	ppm	0.85	Sb	ppm	13.6	Yb	ppm	0.97				
Ga	ppm	18.4	Sc	ppm	6.00	Zn	ppm	580				
Gd	ppm	2.91	Se	ppm	< 5	Zr	ppm	134				
Hf	ppm	3.78	Sm	ppm	3.71							



			Table 2 cont	nued.				
Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
4-Acid Digestion								
В	ppm	< 20	Ge	ppm	0.78	Re	ppb	< 2
Ва	ppm	1845	Hg	ppm	< 1	Tm	ppm	0.12
Aqua Regia Digestion								
Ва	ppm	1289	Pt	ppb	< 5	Si	wt.%	0.037
Ge	ppm	< 0.1	Rb	ppm	10.8	Та	ppm	0.12
Nb	ppm	0.18	Re	ppb	< 1	Tm	ppm	0.064
Pd	ppb	< 10	Ru	ppb	< 2	W	ppm	0.46
Infrared Combustion								
С	wt.%	0.488						

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

SOURCE MATERIALS

OREAS 600 was prepared from gold-silver-copper bearing ore from Evolution Mining's Mount Carlton Operation in Queensland, Australia and blended with argillic rhyodacite waste rock to achieve the desired grades. The mineralisation assemblage consists of pyrite, enargite/tennantite, tetrahedrite, digenite, covellite, sphalerite, galena, alunite, dickite, kaolinite and vuggy silica, hosted in advanced argillic altered rhyodacite containing sulphur-salts. OREAS 600 is one of a suite of six CRMs ranging in grades from 24ppm Ag, 0.2 ppm Au and 0.05% Cu to 980ppm Ag, 1.7ppm Au and 5.0% Cu.

COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS 600 was prepared in the following manner:

- drying to constant mass at 105°C;
- crushing and milling of the barren material to 95% minus 75 microns;
- crushing and milling of the ore material to 100% minus 30 microns;
- blending in appropriate proportions to achieve the desired grades;
- packaging in 60g and 10g units sealed under nitrogen in laminated foil pouches and 1kg units in plastic jars.



ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Twenty eight commercial analytical laboratories participated in the program to certify the 113 elements reported in Table 1. The following methods were employed:

- Gold via 20-40g* fire assay with AAS (20 labs), ICP-OES (4 labs) or gravimetric (3 labs) finish;
- Instrumental neutron activation analysis for Au on 1g subsamples to confirm homogeneity (1 laboratory);
- Gold via 15-40g* aqua regia digestion with ICP-MS (7 labs) or AAS (5 labs) finish;
- 4-Acid digestion for full elemental suite ICP-OES and ICP-MS (up to 21 laboratories depending on the element).
- Aqua regia digestion (see note below) for full elemental suite ICP-OES and ICP-MS (up to 22 laboratories depending on the element).
- Sulphur via Infrared Combustion Analysis (16 labs).

*The certified values (and 95% Confidence Interval and SD) for Au are also applicable to 50g charge weights.

It is important to note that in the analytical industry there is no standardisation of the aqua regia digestion process. Aqua regia is a partial empirical digest and differences in recoveries for various analytes are commonplace. These are caused by variations in the digest conditions which can include the ratio of nitric to hydrochloric acids, acid strength, temperatures, leach times and secondary digestions. Recoveries for sulphide-hosted base metal sulphides approach total values, however, other analytes, in particular the lithophile elements, show greater sensitivity to method parameters. This can result in lack of consensus in an inter-laboratory certification program for these elements. The approach applied here is to report certified values in those instances where reasonable agreement exists amongst a majority of participating laboratories. The results of specific laboratories may differ significantly from the certified values, but will, nonetheless, be valid and reproducible in the context of the specifics of the aqua regia method in use. Users of this reference material should, therefore, be mindful of this limitation when applying the certified values in a quality control program.

For the round robin program twenty 1kg test units were taken at predetermined intervals during the bagging stage, immediately following final blending, and are considered representative of the entire batch. The six samples received by each laboratory were obtained by taking two 110g scoop splits from each of three separate 1kg test units. This format enabled nested ANOVA treatment of the results to evaluate homogeneity, i.e. to ascertain whether between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance. Table 1 presents the certified values together with their associated 1SD's, 95% confidence and tolerance limits and Table 2 shows 96 indicative values for major and trace element composition. Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on twenty ~1g sample portions (see Table 3 below) and by a nested ANOVA program for both fire assay and aqua regia digestion (see 'nested ANOVA' section). Table 4 provides performance gate intervals for the certified values based on their pooled 1SD's. Tabulated results of all elements (including Au INAA analyses) together with uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and percent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM³) are presented in the detailed certification data for this CRM (**OREAS 600** DataPack.xlsx).



Replicate	NAA
No	1g
1	0.193
2	0.199
3	0.207
4	0.193
5	0.209
6	0.195
7	0.203
8	0.195
9	0.191
10	0.199
11	0.201
12	0.209
13	0.190
14	0.202
15	0.201
16	0.186
17	0.198
18	0.200
19	0.198
20	0.197
Mean	0.198
Median	0.199
Std Dev.	0.006
Rel.Std.Dev.	3.09%
PDM ³	-0.92%

 Table 3. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (ppm) on 20 x 1g subsamples.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Certified Values, Confidence Limits, Standard Deviations and Tolerance Limits (Table 1) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with per cent deviations (i) > 3 and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers. Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if > 2.5. After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Certified Values are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering. The INAA data (see Table 3) is omitted from determination of the certified value for Au and is used solely for the calculation of Tolerance Limits and homogeneity evaluation of OREAS 600.



95% Confidence Limits are inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and inter-laboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits. *95% Confidence Limits should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.*

Indicative (uncertified) values (Table 2) are provided for the major and trace elements determined by borate fusion XRF (AI_2O_3 to Zn) and laser ablation with ICP-MS (Ag to Zr) and are the means of duplicate assays from Bureau Veritas, Perth. Additional indicative values by other analytical methods are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient (< 5) to support certification or where inter-laboratory consensus is poor.

Standard Deviation values (1SDs) are reported in Table 1 and provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. The SD values include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. OREAS reference materials have a level of homogeneity such that the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of any individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program.

The majority of data generated in the round robin program was produced by a selection of world class laboratories. The SD's thus generated are more constrained than those that would be produced across a randomly selected group of laboratories. To produce more generally achievable SD's the 'pooled' SD's provided in this report include inter-lab bias. This 'one size fits all' approach may require revision at the discretion of the QC manager concerned following careful scrutiny of QC control charts.

Table 4 shows **Performance Gates** calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. Standard deviation is also shown in relative per cent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates



calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

		-				ates for					
Ormatiturent	Certified		Absolute	Standard	Deviations	6	Relative	Standard D	eviations	5% window	
Constituent	Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Fire Assay											
Au, ppm	0.200	0.006	0.189	0.211	0.183	0.217	2.84%	5.68%	8.51%	0.190	0.210
4-Acid Digest	ion										
Ag, ppm	24.8	1.01	22.7	26.8	21.7	27.8	4.10%	8.20%	12.30%	23.5	26.0
Al, wt.%	6.78	0.252	6.28	7.28	6.02	7.54	3.72%	7.44%	11.16%	6.44	7.12
As, ppm	89	7.2	74	103	67	111	8.16%	16.31%	24.47%	84	93
Be, ppm	1.69	0.18	1.34	2.04	1.16	2.22	10.43%	20.85%	31.28%	1.61	1.78
Bi, ppm	6.39	0.81	4.77	8.01	3.95	8.83	12.71%	25.41%	38.12%	6.07	6.71
Ca, wt.%	1.88	0.073	1.73	2.02	1.66	2.10	3.88%	7.76%	11.64%	1.78	1.97
Cd, ppm	3.37	0.320	2.73	4.01	2.41	4.33	9.48%	18.96%	28.44%	3.20	3.54
Ce, ppm	47.7	1.70	44.3	51.1	42.6	52.8	3.56%	7.12%	10.68%	45.3	50.1
Co, ppm	7.06	0.85	5.36	8.75	4.51	9.60	12.04%	24.08%	36.11%	6.70	7.41
Cr, ppm	27.5	4.2	19.0	36.0	14.8	40.2	15.43%	30.87%	46.30%	26.1	28.9
Cs, ppm	9.42	0.651	8.12	10.72	7.47	11.37	6.91%	13.82%	20.73%	8.95	9.89
Cu, ppm	482	23	437	527	414	550	4.69%	9.37%	14.06%	458	506
Dy, ppm	2.05	0.117	1.82	2.29	1.70	2.40	5.69%	11.37%	17.06%	1.95	2.16
Er, ppm	0.97	0.065	0.84	1.10	0.77	1.16	6.73%	13.46%	20.19%	0.92	1.02
Eu, ppm	1.03	0.19	0.66	1.40	0.47	1.59	18.04%	36.07%	54.11%	0.98	1.08
Fe, wt.%	2.38	0.118	2.14	2.61	2.02	2.73	4.97%	9.94%	14.91%	2.26	2.49
Ga, ppm	18.7	1.31	16.1	21.4	14.8	22.7	7.01%	14.01%	21.02%	17.8	19.7
Gd, ppm	3.12	0.45	2.23	4.02	1.78	4.47	14.37%	28.74%	43.11%	2.97	3.28
Hf, ppm	2.90	0.118	2.66	3.13	2.54	3.25	4.08%	8.16%	12.24%	2.75	3.04
Ho, ppm	0.36	0.026	0.30	0.41	0.28	0.43	7.28%	14.56%	21.84%	0.34	0.37
In, ppm	0.75	0.070	0.61	0.89	0.54	0.96	9.33%	18.66%	28.00%	0.71	0.79
K, wt.%	1.80	0.067	1.67	1.94	1.60	2.01	3.73%	7.47%	11.20%	1.71	1.89
La, ppm	23.0	2.9	17.1	28.8	14.2	31.7	12.74%	25.49%	38.23%	21.8	24.1
Li, ppm	18.7	1.31	16.1	21.3	14.8	22.6	6.98%	13.97%	20.95%	17.8	19.6
Lu, ppm	0.13	0.009	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.15	7.44%	14.88%	22.32%	0.12	0.13
Mg, wt.%	0.772	0.035	0.702	0.841	0.667	0.876	4.52%	9.05%	13.57%	0.733	0.810
Mn, wt.%	0.071	0.004	0.064	0.079	0.060	0.082	5.28%	10.56%	15.84%	0.067	0.075
Mo, ppm	2.20	0.46	1.27	3.13	0.81	3.60	21.07%	42.15%	63.22%	2.09	2.31
N N N N N N N N N N											

Table 4. Performance Gates for OREAS 600.

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding



				Tab	ole 4 cor	tinued.					
0	Certified		Absolute	Standard	Deviations	6	Relative	Standard D	eviations	5% w	indow
Constituent	Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digest	ion continue	ed									
Na, wt.%	0.587	0.027	0.533	0.640	0.506	0.667	4.57%	9.13%	13.70%	0.557	0.616
Nb, ppm	7.98	0.510	6.96	9.00	6.45	9.51	6.39%	12.78%	19.16%	7.58	8.38
Nd, ppm	19.5	0.97	17.5	21.4	16.6	22.4	4.98%	9.96%	14.93%	18.5	20.5
Ni, ppm	16.5	2.8	10.9	22.1	8.1	24.9	16.97%	33.93%	50.90%	15.7	17.3
P, wt.%	0.060	0.001	0.057	0.062	0.056	0.064	2.29%	4.58%	6.87%	0.057	0.063
Pb, ppm	193	14	166	220	152	234	7.04%	14.09%	21.13%	183	203
Pr, ppm	5.42	0.340	4.74	6.10	4.40	6.44	6.26%	12.53%	18.79%	5.15	5.69
Rb, ppm	75	5.5	64	86	59	92	7.25%	14.50%	21.75%	72	79
S, wt.%	1.69	0.039	1.61	1.77	1.58	1.81	2.29%	4.57%	6.86%	1.61	1.78
Sb, ppm	14.3	1.8	10.7	18.0	8.9	19.8	12.69%	25.38%	38.06%	13.6	15.1
Sc, ppm	5.95	0.219	5.51	6.39	5.29	6.61	3.68%	7.37%	11.05%	5.65	6.25
Se, ppm	6.97	1.35	4.27	9.68	2.91	11.04	19.41%	38.83%	58.24%	6.63	7.32
Sm, ppm	3.56	0.225	3.11	4.01	2.88	4.24	6.33%	12.67%	19.00%	3.38	3.74
Sn, ppm	2.12	0.196	1.73	2.51	1.53	2.70	9.26%	18.51%	27.77%	2.01	2.22
Sr, ppm	186	8	169	203	161	212	4.52%	9.05%	13.57%	177	196
Ta, ppm	0.63	0.11	0.40	0.86	0.29	0.98	18.09%	36.18%	54.27%	0.60	0.66
Tb, ppm	0.40	0.05	0.29	0.50	0.24	0.55	13.21%	26.43%	39.64%	0.38	0.42
Te, ppm	7.34	0.585	6.17	8.52	5.59	9.10	7.97%	15.94%	23.91%	6.98	7.71
Th, ppm	9.38	0.514	8.36	10.41	7.84	10.93	5.47%	10.95%	16.42%	8.92	9.85
Ti, wt.%	0.242	0.014	0.213	0.271	0.199	0.285	5.96%	11.91%	17.87%	0.230	0.254
TI, ppm	1.11	0.059	0.99	1.23	0.94	1.29	5.30%	10.60%	15.90%	1.06	1.17
U, ppm	2.69	0.150	2.39	2.99	2.24	3.14	5.59%	11.18%	16.77%	2.55	2.82
V, ppm	45.4	2.31	40.8	50.1	38.5	52.4	5.10%	10.19%	15.29%	43.2	47.7
W, ppm	4.20	0.68	2.84	5.55	2.16	6.23	16.15%	32.29%	48.44%	3.99	4.41
Y, ppm	9.93	0.481	8.97	10.89	8.48	11.37	4.84%	9.69%	14.53%	9.43	10.42
Yb, ppm	0.91	0.064	0.78	1.04	0.72	1.11	7.04%	14.08%	21.13%	0.87	0.96
Zn, ppm	615	23	568	661	545	684	3.78%	7.56%	11.34%	584	645
Zr, ppm	94	3.0	88	100	85	103	3.19%	6.38%	9.57%	89	98
Aqua Regia D	igestion										
Ag, ppm	24.3	0.90	22.5	26.1	21.6	27.0	3.69%	7.39%	11.08%	23.1	25.5
Al, wt.%	0.984	0.147	0.690	1.279	0.543	1.426	14.96%	29.92%	44.89%	0.935	1.034
As, ppm	85	7.1	71	100	64	107	8.30%	16.60%	24.90%	81	90

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding



	Table 4 continued. Absolute Standard Deviations Relative Standard Deviations 5% window													
Constituent	Certified		Absolute	Standard	Deviations	6	Relative	Standard D	eviations	5% window				
Constituent	Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High			
Aqua Regia D	igestion co	ntinued												
Au, ppm	0.192	0.011	0.171	0.214	0.160	0.225	5.67%	11.33%	17.00%	0.183	0.202			
B, ppm	< 10	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND			
Be, ppm	0.70	0.058	0.58	0.82	0.53	0.87	8.26%	16.51%	24.77%	0.67	0.74			
Bi, ppm	6.09	1.14	3.82	8.37	2.69	9.50	18.63%	37.26%	55.90%	5.79	6.40			
Ca, wt.%	1.76	0.119	1.52	1.99	1.40	2.11	6.78%	13.56%	20.34%	1.67	1.84			
Cd, ppm	3.50	0.327	2.84	4.15	2.51	4.48	9.35%	18.70%	28.05%	3.32	3.67			
Ce, ppm	34.8	2.89	29.0	40.6	26.1	43.5	8.30%	16.59%	24.89%	33.1	36.5			
Co, ppm	6.69	0.588	5.51	7.86	4.92	8.45	8.79%	17.59%	26.38%	6.35	7.02			
Cr, ppm	23.4	3.0	17.3	29.5	14.3	32.5	13.01%	26.03%	39.04%	22.2	24.6			
Cs, ppm	2.68	0.53	1.62	3.75	1.08	4.28	19.85%	39.70%	59.55%	2.55	2.82			
Cu, ppm	488	19	449	527	430	546	3.98%	7.97%	11.95%	464	512			
Dy, ppm	1.26	0.062	1.14	1.39	1.08	1.45	4.92%	9.84%	14.76%	1.20	1.33			
Er, ppm	0.54	0.027	0.48	0.59	0.46	0.62	4.97%	9.94%	14.90%	0.51	0.56			
Eu, ppm	0.59	0.040	0.51	0.67	0.47	0.71	6.75%	13.50%	20.25%	0.56	0.62			
Fe, wt.%	2.22	0.110	2.00	2.44	1.89	2.55	4.97%	9.93%	14.90%	2.11	2.33			
Ga, ppm	4.16	0.70	2.75	5.56	2.05	6.27	16.92%	33.84%	50.76%	3.95	4.36			
Gd, ppm	1.97	0.141	1.69	2.25	1.55	2.39	7.15%	14.30%	21.45%	1.87	2.07			
Hf, ppm	0.22	0.04	0.13	0.30	0.09	0.34	19.58%	39.16%	58.75%	0.21	0.23			
Hg, ppm	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND			
Ho, ppm	0.22	0.008	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.24	3.48%	6.97%	10.45%	0.21	0.23			
In, ppm	0.73	0.10	0.52	0.94	0.42	1.04	14.14%	28.28%	42.42%	0.69	0.77			
K, wt.%	0.209	0.028	0.153	0.265	0.125	0.292	13.33%	26.66%	39.99%	0.198	0.219			
La, ppm	18.3	1.43	15.4	21.1	14.0	22.6	7.85%	15.70%	23.55%	17.4	19.2			
Li, ppm	6.54	1.04	4.45	8.62	3.41	9.67	15.95%	31.90%	47.85%	6.21	6.86			
Lu, ppm	0.063	0.005	0.053	0.072	0.048	0.077	7.71%	15.42%	23.12%	0.059	0.066			
Mg, wt.%	0.342	0.057	0.227	0.457	0.170	0.514	16.76%	33.52%	50.28%	0.325	0.359			
Mn, wt.%	0.068	0.003	0.061	0.075	0.058	0.079	5.09%	10.19%	15.28%	0.065	0.072			
Mo, ppm	1.92	0.31	1.29	2.54	0.98	2.85	16.31%	32.63%	48.94%	1.82	2.01			
Na, wt.%	0.051	0.007	0.037	0.064	0.030	0.071	13.36%	26.72%	40.07%	0.048	0.053			
Nd, ppm	14.1	1.05	12.0	16.2	10.9	17.2	7.44%	14.88%	22.32%	13.4	14.8			
Ni, ppm	15.4	1.8	11.8	18.9	10.1	20.6	11.44%	22.87%	34.31%	14.6	16.1			
P, wt.%	0.050	0.003	0.043	0.056	0.040	0.059	6.46%	12.92%	19.38%	0.047	0.052			
Note: interval			منباء مأبيه	1										

Table 4 continued.

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding



I able 4 continued.													
Certified		Absolute	Standard	Deviations	3	Relative	Standard D	eviations	5% window				
Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High			
igestion co	ntinued												
157	5	147	167	142	171	3.16%	6.32%	9.49%	149	164			
4.04	0.144	3.75	4.32	3.60	4.47	3.57%	7.13%	10.70%	3.83	4.24			
1.66	0.062	1.54	1.78	1.47	1.84	3.72%	7.44%	11.16%	1.58	1.74			
< 15	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND			
2.06	0.24	1.59	2.53	1.35	2.77	11.47%	22.94%	34.41%	1.96	2.16			
6.77	0.83	5.12	8.43	4.29	9.26	12.23%	24.47%	36.70%	6.44	7.11			
2.49	0.184	2.12	2.86	1.94	3.04	7.39%	14.78%	22.18%	2.36	2.61			
1.18	0.12	0.93	1.42	0.81	1.55	10.38%	20.76%	31.15%	1.12	1.24			
38.4	4.1	30.2	46.5	26.1	50.6	10.62%	21.25%	31.87%	36.5	40.3			
0.25	0.021	0.21	0.30	0.19	0.32	8.40%	16.79%	25.19%	0.24	0.27			
6.80	0.74	5.32	8.28	4.58	9.02	10.89%	21.78%	32.67%	6.46	7.14			
4.91	0.306	4.30	5.52	3.99	5.83	6.23%	12.47%	18.70%	4.66	5.15			
< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND			
0.56	0.052	0.46	0.67	0.41	0.72	9.24%	18.48%	27.72%	0.53	0.59			
1.02	0.098	0.83	1.22	0.73	1.32	9.54%	19.07%	28.61%	0.97	1.08			
12.9	2.1	8.6	17.2	6.5	19.4	16.60%	33.20%	49.80%	12.3	13.6			
5.98	0.426	5.13	6.83	4.70	7.26	7.13%	14.26%	21.39%	5.68	6.28			
0.43	0.025	0.38	0.48	0.35	0.50	5.81%	11.62%	17.43%	0.41	0.45			
598	35	527	668	492	704	5.90%	11.80%	17.70%	568	628			
10.2	2.0	6.3	14.2	4.3	16.1	19.27%	38.54%	57.81%	9.7	10.7			
bustion													
1.67	0.040	1.59	1.75	1.55	1.79	2.41%	4.82%	7.23%	1.59	1.75			
	Value igestion cor 157 4.04 1.66 < 15 2.06 6.77 2.49 1.18 38.4 0.25 6.80 4.91 < 0.01 0.56 1.02 12.9 5.98 0.43 598 10.2 bustion	Certified Value 1SD igestion continued 157 5 4.04 0.144 1.66 0.062 < 15	Certified Value ISD 2SD Low 1SD 1SD 2SD Low 157 5 147 4.04 0.144 3.75 1.66 0.062 1.54 1ND IND 2.06 0.24 1.59 6.77 0.83 5.12 2.49 0.184 2.12 1.18 0.12 0.93 38.4 4.1 30.2 0.25 0.021 0.21 6.80 0.74 5.32 4.91 0.306 4.30 <0.01	Absolute Standard ISD 2SD Low 2SD High isestion continued 117 167 157 5 147 167 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 <15	Absolute Standard Deviations ISD 2SD Low 2SD High 3SD Low igestion co-inued 1157 5 147 167 142 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 3.60 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 1.47 <15	Absolute Standard Deviations ISD 2SD Low 2SD High 3SD Low 3SD High igestion corrinued 1157 5 147 167 142 171 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 3.60 4.47 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 1.47 1.84 < 15	Absolute Standard Deviations Relative Value ISD 2SD Low 3SD High 3SD Low 3SD High 1RSD injgestion continued 157 5 147 167 142 171 3.16% 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 3.60 4.47 3.57% 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 1.47 1.84 3.72% < 15	Absolute Standard Deviations Relative Standard D ISD ZSD Low XSD High XSD Low XSD High Relative Standard D igestion continued 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 1 RSD 2 2 3 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 6 3 4 4 3 7 4 4 4 4 3 7 4 4 3 7 4 4 4 3 7 4 4 4 3 7 4 4 3 7 4 4 4 3 5 7 1	Absolute Standard Deviations Relative Standard Deviations ISD 2SD Low 2SD High 3SD Low 3SD High 1RSD 2RSD 3RSD High Low 3SD High 1RSD 2RSD 3RSD High Low 3SD High 1RSD 2RSD 3RSD High Low 111 3.16% 6.32% 9.49% 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 3.60 4.47 3.57% 7.13% 10.70% 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 1.47 1.84 3.72% 7.44% 11.16% <15	Relative Standard Deviations Relative Standard Deviations 5% w Value 1SD 2SD Low 2SD High 3SD Low 3SD High 1RSD 2RSD 3RSD Low iigestion continued 157 5 147 167 142 171 3.16% 6.32% 9.49% 149 4.04 0.144 3.75 4.32 3.60 4.47 3.57% 7.13% 10.70% 3.83 1.66 0.062 1.54 1.78 1.47 1.84 3.72% 7.44% 11.16% 1.58 <15			

Table 4 continued.

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

Tolerance Limits (ISO Guide 3207) were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for copper by 4-Acid digestion, where 99% of the time (1- α =0.99) at least 95% of subsamples (ρ =0.95) will have concentrations lying between 470 and 494ppm. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

For gold by fire assay and by aqua regia digestion, the tolerance limits have been determined by INAA using the reduced analytical subsample method which utilises the known relationship between standard deviation and analytical subsample weight (Ingamells and Switzer, 1973). In this approach the sample aliquot is substantially reduced



to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays should be due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. In this instance a subsample weight of 1g was employed and the 1RSD of 0.57% calculated at a 30g charge weight (3.09% at 1g weights) confirms the high level of gold homogeneity in OREAS 600.

Au by fire assay is reported by 27 laboratories and the charge weights range from 20-40g. The most common charge weight used in this round robin was 30g (19 labs) and tolerance intervals have been calculated at this sample weight. For Au by aqua regia digestion, tolerance limits have been calculated at a 25g sample weight (mode from the 25-50g sample weights used at 13 laboratories).

The gold homogeneity of OREAS 600 has also been evaluated in a **nested ANOVA** of the round robin program. Each of the twenty-eight round robin laboratories received six samples per CRM and these samples were made up of paired samples from three different, non-adjacent sampling intervals. The purpose of the ANOVA evaluation is to test that no statistically significant difference exists in the variance between-units to that of the variance within-units. This allows an assessment of homogeneity across the entire prepared batch of OREAS 600. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Gold fire assay 162 samples (27 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Gold aqua regia digestion 72 samples (12 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Null Hypothesis, H₀: Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H₀ if *p*-value < 0.05);
- Alternative Hypothesis, H_1 : Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

P-values are a measure of probability where values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The dataset was filtered for both individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers prior to the calculation of the *p*-value. This process derived *p*-values of 0.99 for Au by fire assay and 0.77 for Au by aqua regia digestion. Both p-values are insignificant and the Null Hypothesis is retained. Additionally, none of the other 111 certified values showed significant *p*-values.

It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the packaging run of OREAS 600 and whether the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS 600 is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see 'Intended Use' below).



PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

- 1. Accurassay, Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada
- 2. Acme (BV), Santiago, Chile
- 3. Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
- 4. AH Knight, Spartanburg, SC, USA
- 5. ALS, Johannesburg, South Africa
- 6. ALS, Lima, Peru
- 7. ALS, Reno, Nevada, USA
- 8. ALS, Townsville, QLD, Australia
- 9. ALS, Val-d'or, Quebec, Canada
- 10. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 11. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Adelaide, SA, Australia
- 12. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Kalgoorlie, WA, Australia
- 13. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
- 14. Bureau Veritas Kalassay, Kalgoorlie, WA, Australia
- 15. Inspectorate (BV), Lima, Peru
- 16. Inspectorate (BV), Sparks, Nevada, USA
- 17. Intertek Genalysis, Adelaide, SA, Australia
- 18. Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
- 19. Intertek Testing Services, Cupang, Muntinlupa, Philippines
- 20. Intertek Testing Services, Shunyi, Beijing, China
- 21. MINTEK Analytical Services, Randburg, South Africa
- 22. PT Geoservices Ltd, Cikarang, Jakarta Raya, Indonesia
- 23. SGS de Mexico, Durango, Mexico
- 24. SGS Geosol Laboratorios Ltda, Vespasiano, Minas Gerais, Brazil
- 25. SGS Lakefield Research Ltd, Lakefield, Ontario, Canada
- 26. SGS South Africa Pty Ltd, Booysens, Gauteng, South Africa
- 27. Shiva Analyticals Ltd, Bangalore North, Karnataka, India
- 28. SRL (Bureau Veritas), Perth, WA, Australia

PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS 600 is prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd	Tel:	+613-9729 0333
37A Hosie Street	Fax:	+613-9729 8338
Bayswater North VIC 3153	Web:	www.ore.com.au
AUSTRALIA	Email:	info@ore.com.au

It is available in unit sizes of 10 and 60g (single-use laminated foil pouches) and 1kg (plastic jars).

INTENDED USE

OREAS 600 is intended for the following uses:

- for the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- for the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;



• for the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 600 has been prepared from gold-silver-copper bearing ore from Evolution Mining's Mount Carlton Operation in Queensland, Australia and blended with argillic altered rhyodacite waste rock. It is low in reactive sulphide (1.67% S) however, as a precaution has been packaged under a nitrogen environment (single use laminated foil pouches only). In its unopened state and under normal conditions of storage the CRM has a shelf life beyond ten years. Its stability will be monitored at regular intervals and purchasers notified if any changes are observed.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The certified values for OREAS 600 refer to the concentration level in its packaged state. It should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis. The certified values for gold by fire assay and aqua regia digestion are applicable to charge/sample weights ranging 20-50g.

HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions such as the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner to represent the entire batch of prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis. The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite, and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment as detailed in this report.

LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.



QMS ACCREDITED

ORE Pty Ltd is accredited to ISO 9001:2008 by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.



CERTIFYING OFFICER



Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

REFERENCES

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), Talanta 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 30 (1992), Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.

ISO Guide 31 (2000), Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.

ISO Guide 35 (2006), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

