ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856
37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA
61 3 9729 0333 Fax 61 3 9729 8338
info@ore.com.au www.ore.com.au

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

High Sulphidation Epithermal Au-Cu-Ag Ore

(Mt Carlton, Queensland, Australia)

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL

OREAS 611

Summary Statistics for Key Analytes.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay											
Au, ppm	15.70	0.601	14.50	16.90	13.90	17.50	3.83%	7.66%	11.49%	14.91	16.48
4-Acid Digestion											
Ag, ppm	80.0	1.61	76.8	83.2	75.2	84.8	2.02%	4.03%	6.05%	76.0	84.0
Cu, wt.%	1.17	0.022	1.12	1.21	1.10	1.23	1.85%	3.70%	5.55%	1.11	1.23

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

Table 1. Certified Values and Performance Gates for OREAS 611.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay											
Au, ppm	15.70	0.601	14.50	16.90	13.90	17.50	3.83%	7.66%	11.49%	14.91	16.48
Aqua Regia Digestion (sample weights 10-50g)											
Au, ppm	15.53	0.407	14.72	16.35	14.31	16.75	2.62%	5.24%	7.86%	14.76	16.31
Infrared Combustion											
S, wt.%	4.26	0.177	3.91	4.61	3.73	4.79	4.14%	8.29%	12.43%	4.05	4.47
4-Acid Digestion											
Ag, ppm	80.0	1.61	76.8	83.2	75.2	84.8	2.02%	4.03%	6.05%	76.0	84.0
Al, wt.%	5.78	0.214	5.36	6.21	5.14	6.43	3.70%	7.41%	11.11%	5.49	6.07
As, ppm	3400	180	3040	3759	2861	3939	5.28%	10.57%	15.85%	3230	3570
Be, ppm	1.46	0.106	1.25	1.67	1.14	1.78	7.28%	14.56%	21.84%	1.39	1.53
Bi, ppm	265	11	243	287	232	298	4.14%	8.28%	12.42%	252	279
Ca, wt.%	0.227	0.018	0.192	0.263	0.174	0.280	7.77%	15.55%	23.32%	0.216	0.239
Cd, ppm	13.9	0.73	12.4	15.4	11.7	16.1	5.28%	10.57%	15.85%	13.2	14.6
Ce, ppm	46.4	4.46	37.5	55.3	33.0	59.8	9.61%	19.22%	28.84%	44.1	48.7
Co, ppm	8.70	0.266	8.16	9.23	7.90	9.50	3.06%	6.12%	9.19%	8.26	9.13
Cr, ppm	51	7	36	65	29	72	14.16%	28.33%	42.49%	48	53
Cs, ppm	2.06	0.148	1.77	2.36	1.62	2.50	7.16%	14.32%	21.48%	1.96	2.16
Cu, wt.%	1.17	0.022	1.12	1.21	1.10	1.23	1.85%	3.70%	5.55%	1.11	1.23
Dy, ppm	1.55	0.110	1.33	1.77	1.22	1.88	7.06%	14.13%	21.19%	1.47	1.63
Er, ppm	0.61	0.042	0.53	0.70	0.49	0.74	6.77%	13.54%	20.32%	0.58	0.64
Eu, ppm	0.76	0.055	0.65	0.87	0.59	0.92	7.28%	14.55%	21.83%	0.72	0.79
Fe, wt.%	2.54	0.092	2.35	2.72	2.26	2.81	3.65%	7.29%	10.94%	2.41	2.66
Ga, ppm	24.4	1.68	21.1	27.8	19.4	29.5	6.87%	13.73%	20.60%	23.2	25.7
Gd, ppm	2.92	0.234	2.45	3.39	2.22	3.62	8.02%	16.04%	24.05%	2.77	3.06
Hf, ppm	2.26	0.117	2.03	2.50	1.91	2.62	5.18%	10.36%	15.55%	2.15	2.38
Ho, ppm	0.22	0.02	0.17	0.27	0.15	0.29	10.92%	21.85%	32.77%	0.21	0.23
In, ppm	4.68	0.268	4.14	5.21	3.88	5.48	5.72%	11.45%	17.17%	4.44	4.91
K, wt.%	1.86	0.070	1.72	2.00	1.65	2.07	3.75%	7.51%	11.26%	1.77	1.95
La, ppm	20.8	3.6	13.5	28.1	9.9	31.7	17.43%	34.86%	52.30%	19.8	21.8
Li, ppm	29.7	1.55	26.6	32.8	25.0	34.3	5.23%	10.45%	15.68%	28.2	31.2
Mg, ppm	1472	93	1286	1659	1193	1752	6.33%	12.65%	18.98%	1399	1546
Mn, ppm	79	3.8	71	86	68	90	4.77%	9.53%	14.30%	75	83
Mo, ppm	5.46	0.370	4.72	6.20	4.35	6.57	6.78%	13.57%	20.35%	5.18	5.73
Na, wt.%	0.804	0.028	0.747	0.860	0.719	0.889	3.52%	7.05%	10.57%	0.764	0.844
Nb, ppm	8.82	0.569	7.68	9.96	7.11	10.53	6.45%	12.91%	19.36%	8.38	9.26
Nd, ppm	19.4	1.24	16.9	21.9	15.7	23.1	6.38%	12.76%	19.13%	18.4	20.4
Ni, ppm	27.0	1.09	24.9	29.2	23.8	30.3	4.03%	8.07%	12.10%	25.7	28.4
P, ppm	548	22	503	592	481	615	4.06%	8.13%	12.19%	520	575
Pb, ppm	640	35	570	709	535	744	5.46%	10.91%	16.37%	608	672
Pr, ppm	5.15	0.59	3.97	6.32	3.39	6.91	11.39%	22.79%	34.18%	4.89	5.40

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
Rb, ppm	65	2.9	59	70	56	73	4.51%	9.03%	13.54%	61	68
S, wt.%	4.18	0.163	3.85	4.50	3.69	4.67	3.90%	7.80%	11.70%	3.97	4.39
Sb, ppm	365	31	303	426	273	457	8.40%	16.80%	25.19%	347	383
Sc, ppm	3.20	0.224	2.75	3.65	2.53	3.87	7.01%	14.01%	21.02%	3.04	3.36
Se, ppm	34.8	3.41	28.0	41.6	24.6	45.0	9.79%	19.58%	29.38%	33.0	36.5
Sm, ppm	3.80	0.225	3.35	4.25	3.13	4.48	5.92%	11.84%	17.75%	3.61	3.99
Sn, ppm	32.1	2.34	27.4	36.7	25.0	39.1	7.32%	14.63%	21.95%	30.4	33.7
Sr, ppm	317	21	274	360	253	381	6.73%	13.46%	20.19%	301	333
Ta, ppm	0.71	0.045	0.62	0.80	0.58	0.85	6.30%	12.59%	18.89%	0.68	0.75
Tb, ppm	0.31	0.07	0.18	0.44	0.11	0.51	21.06%	42.11%	63.17%	0.30	0.33
Te, ppm	49.3	2.78	43.7	54.8	40.9	57.6	5.65%	11.29%	16.94%	46.8	51.7
Th, ppm	8.66	1.08	6.50	10.82	5.42	11.90	12.46%	24.92%	37.38%	8.23	9.10
Ti, wt.%	0.192	0.007	0.178	0.206	0.171	0.213	3.59%	7.19%	10.78%	0.182	0.201
Tl, ppm	2.17	0.114	1.95	2.40	1.83	2.52	5.25%	10.50%	15.75%	2.07	2.28
U, ppm	2.68	0.164	2.35	3.01	2.18	3.17	6.14%	12.29%	18.43%	2.54	2.81
V, ppm	31.9	1.50	28.9	34.9	27.4	36.4	4.70%	9.40%	14.10%	30.3	33.5
W, ppm	8.75	0.454	7.84	9.66	7.39	10.11	5.19%	10.38%	15.57%	8.31	9.19
Y, ppm	6.80	0.338	6.13	7.48	5.79	7.82	4.96%	9.92%	14.88%	6.46	7.14
Yb, ppm	0.55	0.08	0.40	0.70	0.32	0.78	14.03%	28.07%	42.10%	0.52	0.58
Zn, ppm	2023	73	1877	2169	1804	2241	3.60%	7.20%	10.80%	1922	2124
Zr, ppm	69	5.3	58	79	53	85	7.72%	15.45%	23.17%	65	72
Aqua Regia Digestion											
Ag, ppm	79.2	3.62	72.0	86.5	68.4	90.1	4.57%	9.14%	13.71%	75.3	83.2
Al, wt.%	0.838	0.048	0.742	0.935	0.694	0.983	5.75%	11.50%	17.25%	0.796	0.880
As, ppm	3340	192	2956	3725	2764	3917	5.75%	11.50%	17.25%	3173	3508
Be, ppm	0.28	0.025	0.23	0.33	0.21	0.35	8.75%	17.50%	26.25%	0.27	0.29
Bi, ppm	256	16	225	288	209	304	6.20%	12.40%	18.59%	244	269
Ca, wt.%	0.108	0.005	0.098	0.118	0.094	0.122	4.45%	8.90%	13.36%	0.103	0.113
Cd, ppm	13.7	0.98	11.8	15.7	10.8	16.7	7.12%	14.25%	21.37%	13.0	14.4
Ce, ppm	14.6	1.45	11.7	17.5	10.3	19.0	9.91%	19.81%	29.72%	13.9	15.4
Co, ppm	8.64	0.469	7.70	9.58	7.23	10.04	5.43%	10.86%	16.29%	8.21	9.07
Cr, ppm	41.7	2.91	35.8	47.5	32.9	50.4	6.98%	13.97%	20.95%	39.6	43.7
Cs, ppm	0.73	0.070	0.59	0.87	0.52	0.94	9.58%	19.15%	28.73%	0.70	0.77
Cu, wt.%	1.18	0.024	1.13	1.23	1.11	1.25	2.01%	4.03%	6.04%	1.12	1.24
Fe, wt.%	2.40	0.124	2.16	2.65	2.03	2.78	5.15%	10.30%	15.44%	2.28	2.52
Ga, ppm	6.83	0.504	5.82	7.84	5.32	8.34	7.38%	14.75%	22.13%	6.49	7.17
Hf, ppm	0.42	0.031	0.36	0.48	0.33	0.51	7.34%	14.68%	22.02%	0.40	0.44
Hg, ppm	0.95	0.046	0.86	1.04	0.81	1.08	4.83%	9.65%	14.48%	0.90	0.99
In, ppm	4.53	0.172	4.18	4.87	4.01	5.04	3.80%	7.60%	11.40%	4.30	4.75
K, wt.%	0.201	0.012	0.178	0.224	0.166	0.235	5.74%	11.48%	17.22%	0.191	0.211

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion continued											
La, ppm	6.86	0.667	5.52	8.19	4.86	8.86	9.72%	19.45%	29.17%	6.51	7.20
Li, ppm	8.25	0.619	7.02	9.49	6.40	10.11	7.50%	15.00%	22.51%	7.84	8.67
Mg, ppm	1000	67	865	1135	798	1202	6.73%	13.47%	20.20%	950	1050
Mn, ppm	62	3.6	55	69	51	73	5.76%	11.52%	17.29%	59	65
Mo, ppm	5.13	0.319	4.49	5.77	4.17	6.08	6.23%	12.47%	18.70%	4.87	5.38
Na, wt.%	0.044	0.008	0.028	0.061	0.020	0.069	18.45%	36.90%	55.34%	0.042	0.047
Nb, ppm	0.17	0.03	0.11	0.23	0.08	0.26	17.91%	35.81%	53.72%	0.16	0.18
Ni, ppm	26.9	1.76	23.4	30.4	21.6	32.2	6.53%	13.06%	19.59%	25.6	28.3
P, ppm	243	12	218	267	206	279	5.01%	10.02%	15.03%	230	255
Pb, ppm	481	25	431	530	407	555	5.14%	10.28%	15.42%	457	505
Rb, ppm	7.25	0.648	5.95	8.55	5.30	9.20	8.94%	17.89%	26.83%	6.89	7.61
S, wt.%	2.95	0.172	2.60	3.29	2.43	3.47	5.85%	11.70%	17.55%	2.80	3.10
Sb, ppm	324	23	278	371	255	394	7.18%	14.35%	21.53%	308	341
Sc, ppm	0.84	0.079	0.69	1.00	0.61	1.08	9.37%	18.74%	28.11%	0.80	0.89
Se, ppm	32.5	4.1	24.4	40.7	20.3	44.8	12.54%	25.08%	37.62%	30.9	34.2
Sn, ppm	29.5	1.77	26.0	33.1	24.2	34.9	6.01%	12.01%	18.02%	28.1	31.0
Sr, ppm	38.4	5.6	27.3	49.6	21.7	55.1	14.50%	29.00%	43.50%	36.5	40.3
Te, ppm	49.0	2.74	43.5	54.5	40.8	57.2	5.60%	11.19%	16.79%	46.5	51.4
Th, ppm	3.14	0.273	2.59	3.68	2.32	3.96	8.70%	17.39%	26.09%	2.98	3.30
Tl, ppm	1.81	0.080	1.65	1.97	1.57	2.05	4.44%	8.88%	13.32%	1.72	1.90
U, ppm	1.12	0.092	0.94	1.31	0.85	1.40	8.20%	16.41%	24.61%	1.07	1.18
V, ppm	12.7	0.91	10.9	14.5	10.0	15.4	7.16%	14.32%	21.48%	12.0	13.3
W, ppm	4.31	0.48	3.35	5.28	2.87	5.76	11.14%	22.28%	33.42%	4.10	4.53
Y, ppm	3.09	0.237	2.62	3.57	2.38	3.80	7.64%	15.29%	22.93%	2.94	3.25
Zn, ppm	2058	80	1897	2218	1817	2298	3.90%	7.80%	11.70%	1955	2161
Zr, ppm	12.5	1.05	10.4	14.6	9.4	15.7	8.36%	16.71%	25.07%	11.9	13.2

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

OREAS reference materials enable users to successfully achieve process control of these tasks because the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

SOURCE MATERIAL

OREAS 611 was prepared from a blend of silver-copper-gold bearing ores from Evolution Mining's Mount Carlton Operation in Queensland, Australia and argillic rhyodacite waste rock sourced from a quarry east of Melbourne, Australia.

The mineralisation assemblage at Mount Carlton consists of pyrite, enargite/tennantite, tetrahedrite, digenite, covellite, sphalerite, galena, alunite, dickite, kaolinite and vuggy silica, hosted in advanced argillic altered rhyodacite containing sulphur-salts.

PERFORMANCE GATES

Table 1 above shows intervals calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned (also see 'Intended Use' section below). Westgard Rules extend the basics of single-rule QC monitoring using multi-rules (for more information visit www.westgard.com/mltirule.htm). A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value.

Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow. One approach used at commercial laboratories is to set the acceptance criteria at twice the detection level (DL) ± 10%.

i.e. Certified Value ± 10% ± 2DL (adapted from Govett, 1983)

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 611.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Pb Fire Assay								
Pd	ppb	*< 5*	Pt	ppb	*< 5*			
Infrared Combustion								
C	wt.%	*0.070*						
4-Acid Digestion								
Ba	ppm	*263*	Hg	ppm	*0.66*	Re	ppb	*2.07*
Ge	ppm	*2.35*	Lu	ppb	*86.7*	Tm	ppb	*91.7*
Aqua Regia Digestion								
B	ppm	*< 10*	Ho	ppm	*0.10*	Sm	ppm	*1.40*
Ba	ppm	*58*	Lu	ppb	*31.7*	Ta	ppm	*< 0.01*
Dy	ppm	*0.83*	Nd	ppm	*8.33*	Tb	ppm	*0.17*
Er	ppm	*0.28*	Pd	ppb	*196*	Ti	wt.%	*0.010*
Eu	ppm	*0.22*	Pr	ppm	*2.05*	Tm	ppb	*< 100*

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

Table 2 continued.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
Aqua Regia Digestion continued								
Gd	ppm	*1.47*	Pt	ppb	*< 1*	Yb	ppm	*0.20*
Ge	ppm	*0.32*	Re	ppb	*1.83*			
Borate Fusion XRF								
Al_2O_3	wt.%	*11.28*	Fe_2O_3	wt.%	*3.62*	S	wt.%	*4.33*
As	ppm	*3635*	K_2O	wt.%	*2.29*	SiO_2	wt.%	*69.54*
BaO	ppm	*5075*	MgO	wt.%	*0.275*	Sn	ppm	*20.0*
CaO	wt.%	*0.310*	MnO	wt.%	*0.012*	Sr	ppm	*338*
Cl	ppm	*30.0*	Na_2O	wt.%	*1.13*	TiO_2	wt.%	*0.323*
Co	ppm	*15.0*	Ni	ppm	*35.0*	V_2O_5	ppm	*55*
Cr_2O_3	ppm	*90*	P_2O_5	wt.%	*0.129*	Zn	ppm	*2080*
Cu	wt.%	*1.17*	Pb	ppm	*690*	Zr	ppm	*196*
Thermogravimetry								
LOI^{1000}	wt.%	*8.48*						
Peroxide Fusion ICP								
Cu	wt.%	*1.15*						
Laser Ablation ICP-MS								
Ag	ppm	*96.3*	Hf	ppm	*5.22*	Sm	ppm	*4.09*
As	ppm	*3550*	Ho	ppm	*0.26*	Sn	ppm	*32.1*
Ba	ppm	*4445*	In	ppm	*4.30*	Sr	ppm	*349*
Be	ppm	*1.70*	La	ppm	*26.6*	Ta	ppm	*0.77*
Bi	ppm	*260*	Lu	ppb	*105*	Tb	ppm	*0.38*
Cd	ppm	*16.8*	Mn	ppm	*75*	Te	ppm	*53*
Ce	ppm	*51*	Mo	ppm	*5.20*	Th	ppm	*10.4*
Co	ppm	*8.55*	Nb	ppm	*9.24*	Ti	wt.%	*0.192*
Cr	ppm	*61*	Nd	ppm	*21.1*	Tl	ppm	*2.90*
Cs	ppm	*2.01*	Ni	ppm	*32.0*	Tm	ppb	*100*
Cu	wt.%	*1.17*	Pb	ppm	*699*	U	ppm	*2.76*
Dy	ppm	*1.66*	Pr	ppm	*5.69*	V	ppm	*33.0*
Er	ppm	*0.72*	Rb	ppm	*61*	W	ppm	*8.75*
Eu	ppm	*0.79*	Re	ppb	*17.5*	Y	ppm	*7.60*
Ga	ppm	*23.3*	Sb	ppm	*387*	Yb	ppm	*0.72*
Gd	ppm	*3.02*	Sc	ppm	*3.75*	Zn	ppm	*2015*
Ge	ppm	*5.13*	Se	ppm	*< 5*	Zr	ppm	*179*
X-ray Photon Assay								
Au	ppm	*15.81*						

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.
Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS 611 was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying of ore materials (sulphide-rich) to constant mass at 85°C;
- Drying of barren rhyodacite to constant mass at 105°C;
- Crushing and milling of ore materials to 100% minus 30 microns;
- Crushing and milling of barren rhyodacite to 98% minus 75 microns;

- Blending in appropriate proportions to achieve the desired grades;
- Packaging under nitrogen in 10g and 60g units in laminated foil pouches.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

OREAS 611 was tested at ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd's onsite laboratory for various physical properties. Table 3 presents these findings which should be used for informational purposes only.

Table 3. Physical properties of OREAS 611.

CRM Name	Bulk Density (g/L)	Moisture%	Munsell Notation‡	Munsell Color‡
OREAS 611	706	0.67	N6	Medium Light Gray

‡The Munsell Rock Color Chart helps geologists and archeologists communicate with color more effectively by cross-referencing ISCC-NBS color names with unique Munsell alpha-numeric color notations for rock color samples.

ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Twenty five commercial analytical laboratories participated in the program to certify the elements reported in Table 1. The following methods were employed:

- Gold by fire assay using a 25-50g charge weight with AAS finish (13 laboratories), gravimetric finish (7 laboratories) and ICP-OES (5 laboratories);
- Gold by aqua regia digestion using a 15-40g sample mass with ICP-MS finish (11 laboratories) and AAS (3 laboratories) finish;
- Sulphur by infra-red combustion furnace (21 laboratories);
- Full ICP-OES and MS elemental suites by 4-acid digestion (up to 23 laboratories depending on the element; some laboratories employed an AAS finish for Ag and Cu);
- Full ICP-OES and MS elemental suites by aqua regia digestion (up to 24 laboratories depending on the element; some laboratories employed an AAS finish for Cu);
- Gold by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on 20 x 85mg subsamples to confirm homogeneity (undertaken by ANSTO, Lucas Heights).

It is important to note that in the analytical industry there is no standardisation of the aqua regia digestion process. Aqua regia is a partial empirical digest and differences in recoveries for various analytes are commonplace. These are caused by variations in the digest conditions which can include the ratio of nitric to hydrochloric acids, acid strength, temperatures, leach times and secondary digestions. Recoveries for sulphide-hosted base metal sulphides approach total values, however, other analytes, in particular the lithophile elements, show greater sensitivity to method parameters. This can result in lack of consensus in an inter-laboratory certification program for these elements.

The approach applied here is to report certified values in those instances where reasonable agreement exists amongst a majority of participating laboratories. The results of specific laboratories may differ significantly from the certified values, but will,

nonetheless, be valid and reproducible in the context of the specifics of the aqua regia method in use. Users of this reference material should, therefore, be mindful of this limitation when applying the certified values in a quality control program.

Gold was also determined by Chrysos Corporation's new Photon Assay technique at their Perth and Kalgoorlie branches. The mean value is included in Table 2 as an indicative value since it is reported by two laboratories only. Table 2 also includes major and trace element characterisation by BV Perth Geoanalytical laboratory using the following methodologies:

- Major oxides by lithium borate fusion with X-ray fluorescence;
- LOI at 1000°C by thermogravimetric analyser;
- Infra-red combustion furnace for C;
- Trace element characterisation by laser ablation with ICP-MS finish.

For the round robin program twenty 1.2kg test units were taken at predetermined intervals during the bagging stage, immediately following homogenisation and are considered representative of the entire prepared batch. Six 100g pulp samples were submitted to each laboratory for analysis received by each laboratory were obtained by taking two 100g samples from each of three separate 1.2kg test units. This format enabled nested ANOVA treatment of the results to evaluate homogeneity, i.e. to ascertain whether between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

Table 4 presents the 102 certified values together with their associated 1SD's, 95% confidence and tolerance limits. Gold homogeneity has been evaluated and confirmed by instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) on twenty ~85mg sample portions (see Table 5 below) and by a nested ANOVA program for both fire assay and aqua regia digestion (see '**nested ANOVA**' section).

Tabulated results of all elements together with uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and per cent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM[3]) are presented in the detailed certification data for this CRM (**OREAS 611 DataPack-1.0.190706_184102.xlsx**).

Results are also presented in scatter plots for gold by fire assay, silver by 4-acid digestion and copper by 4-acid digestion (Figures 1 to 3, respectively) together with ±3SD (magenta) and ±5% (yellow) control lines and certified value (green line). Accepted individual results are coloured blue and individual and dataset outliers are identified in red and violet, respectively.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Standard Deviation intervals (see Table 1) provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. The Standard Deviation values include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability.

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program (see Intended Use section for more detail).

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. ***The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.***

Certified Values, Standard Deviations, Confidence Limits and Tolerance Limits (Table 4) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration).

For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with per cent deviations (i) > 3 and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if > 2.5. After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Certified Values are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering. The INAA data (see Table 5) is omitted from determination of the certified value for Au and is used solely for the calculation of Tolerance Limits and homogeneity evaluation of OREAS 611 (see 'Homogeneity Evaluation' section below).

95% Confidence Limits are inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and inter-laboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits. ***95% Confidence Limits should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.***

Indicative (uncertified) values (Table 2) are provided for the major and trace elements determined by borate fusion XRF (Al_2O_3 to Zr), laser ablation with ICP-MS (Ag to Zr), LOI at 1000°C and C by infrared combustion furnace and are the means of duplicate assays from Bureau Veritas, Perth. Additional indicative values by other analytical methods are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient (< 5) to support certification or where inter-laboratory consensus is poor.

Table 4. 95% Confidence & Tolerance Limits for OREAS 611.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay						
Au, Gold (ppm)	15.70	0.601	15.47	15.93	15.63*	15.77*
Aqua Regia Digestion (sample weights 10-50g)						
Au, Gold (ppm)	15.53	0.407	15.26	15.80	15.45*	15.61*
Infrared Combustion						
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	4.26	0.177	4.18	4.34	4.21	4.32
4-Acid Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	80.0	1.61	79.5	80.5	78.2	81.8
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	5.78	0.214	5.69	5.88	5.66	5.91
As, Arsenic (ppm)	3400	180	3314	3485	3338	3462
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	1.46	0.106	1.41	1.51	1.40	1.53
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	265	11	261	270	260	271
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.227	0.018	0.220	0.235	0.218	0.237
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	13.9	0.73	13.6	14.2	13.5	14.3
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	46.4	4.46	43.9	48.9	44.4	48.4
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	8.70	0.266	8.59	8.80	8.39	9.01
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	51	7	47	54	48	53
Cs, Caesium (ppm)	2.06	0.148	1.99	2.13	1.96	2.16
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	1.17	0.022	1.16	1.18	1.15	1.18
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	1.55	0.110	1.43	1.68	IND	IND
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.61	0.042	0.59	0.64	IND	IND
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.76	0.055	0.71	0.80	IND	IND
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.54	0.092	2.50	2.58	2.49	2.59
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	24.4	1.68	23.7	25.2	23.6	25.3
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	2.92	0.234	2.66	3.18	2.51	3.33
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	2.26	0.117	2.21	2.32	2.16	2.36
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.22	0.02	0.19	0.25	IND	IND
In, Indium (ppm)	4.68	0.268	4.55	4.81	4.55	4.80
K, Potassium (wt.%)	1.86	0.070	1.83	1.89	1.82	1.90
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	20.8	3.6	19.1	22.5	20.1	21.5
Li, Lithium (ppm)	29.7	1.55	29.0	30.4	28.8	30.6
Mg, Magnesium (ppm)	1472	93	1434	1511	1430	1515
Mn, Manganese (ppm)	79	3.8	77	80	77	81
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	5.46	0.370	5.30	5.61	5.23	5.68
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.804	0.028	0.792	0.816	0.785	0.823
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	8.82	0.569	8.54	9.10	8.53	9.11

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

*Gold Tolerance Limits for typical 25-50g fire assay and 15-40g aqua regia digestion methods are determined from 20 x 85mg INAA results and the Sampling Constant (Ingamells & Switzer, 1973).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 4 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued						
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	19.4	1.24	18.0	20.7	18.1	20.7
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	27.0	1.09	26.6	27.5	26.1	27.9
P, Phosphorus (ppm)	548	22	538	558	535	560
Pb, Lead (ppm)	640	35	624	655	625	654
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	5.15	0.59	4.40	5.89	4.78	5.51
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	65	2.9	63	66	63	66
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	4.18	0.163	4.11	4.24	4.12	4.24
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	365	31	351	378	357	372
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	3.20	0.224	3.09	3.31	3.04	3.36
Se, Selenium (ppm)	34.8	3.41	33.1	36.4	32.8	36.8
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	3.80	0.225	3.55	4.05	3.62	3.98
Sn, Tin (ppm)	32.1	2.34	31.1	33.0	31.3	32.8
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	317	21	307	327	309	325
Ta, Tantalum (ppm)	0.71	0.045	0.69	0.74	0.69	0.74
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.31	0.07	0.26	0.36	IND	IND
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	49.3	2.78	48.0	50.6	48.0	50.6
Th, Thorium (ppm)	8.66	1.08	8.11	9.22	8.26	9.07
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.192	0.007	0.189	0.195	0.189	0.195
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	2.17	0.114	2.12	2.23	2.11	2.24
U, Uranium (ppm)	2.68	0.164	2.60	2.76	2.59	2.76
V, Vanadium (ppm)	31.9	1.50	31.2	32.5	31.0	32.8
W, Tungsten (ppm)	8.75	0.454	8.54	8.96	8.42	9.07
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	6.80	0.338	6.66	6.95	6.55	7.05
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.55	0.08	0.49	0.61	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	2023	73	1993	2052	1985	2061
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	69	5.3	66	71	67	71
Aqua Regia Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	79.2	3.62	77.6	80.9	77.8	80.7
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	0.838	0.048	0.815	0.861	0.817	0.860
As, Arsenic (ppm)	3340	192	3253	3428	3268	3413
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	0.28	0.025	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.30
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	256	16	249	264	250	263
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	0.108	0.005	0.106	0.110	0.106	0.110
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	13.7	0.98	13.3	14.2	13.3	14.2
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	14.6	1.45	13.7	15.5	14.2	15.1
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	8.64	0.469	8.43	8.85	8.31	8.97

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 4 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Aqua Regia Digestion continued						
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	41.7	2.91	40.4	43.0	40.5	42.8
Cs, Caesium (ppm)	0.73	0.070	0.69	0.77	0.71	0.75
Cu, Copper (wt.%)	1.18	0.024	1.17	1.19	1.16	1.20
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	2.40	0.124	2.35	2.46	2.36	2.45
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	6.83	0.504	6.56	7.10	6.63	7.03
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	0.42	0.031	0.40	0.44	0.40	0.44
Hg, Mercury (ppm)	0.95	0.046	0.92	0.97	0.91	0.98
In, Indium (ppm)	4.53	0.172	4.43	4.62	4.39	4.66
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.201	0.012	0.195	0.206	0.193	0.208
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	6.86	0.667	6.51	7.21	6.60	7.12
Li, Lithium (ppm)	8.25	0.619	7.89	8.61	7.92	8.58
Mg, Magnesium (ppm)	1000	67	973	1027	944	1056
Mn, Manganese (ppm)	62	3.6	60	64	60	64
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	5.13	0.319	5.00	5.25	4.93	5.33
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.044	0.008	0.041	0.048	0.041	0.047
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	0.17	0.03	0.15	0.19	IND	IND
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	26.9	1.76	26.1	27.7	25.7	28.1
P, Phosphorus (ppm)	243	12	236	249	233	252
Pb, Lead (ppm)	481	25	470	491	471	490
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	7.25	0.648	6.84	7.66	6.98	7.52
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	2.95	0.172	2.87	3.03	2.89	3.01
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	324	23	313	336	317	332
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	0.84	0.079	0.80	0.89	IND	IND
Se, Selenium (ppm)	32.5	4.1	30.4	34.6	31.1	34.0
Sn, Tin (ppm)	29.5	1.77	28.8	30.3	29.0	30.1
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	38.4	5.6	35.7	41.1	37.5	39.3
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	49.0	2.74	47.6	50.3	47.5	50.4
Th, Thorium (ppm)	3.14	0.273	2.99	3.29	3.02	3.26
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	1.81	0.080	1.77	1.85	1.74	1.88
U, Uranium (ppm)	1.12	0.092	1.07	1.17	1.09	1.16
V, Vanadium (ppm)	12.7	0.91	12.3	13.1	12.2	13.1
W, Tungsten (ppm)	4.31	0.48	4.06	4.57	4.17	4.46
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	3.09	0.237	2.98	3.21	2.99	3.20
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	2058	80	2025	2090	2016	2100
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	12.5	1.05	12.0	13.1	12.2	12.9

SI unit equivalents: ppm, parts per million ≡ mg/kg ≡ µg/g ≡ 0.0001 wt.% ≡ 1000 ppb, parts per billion.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Homogeneity Evaluation

The tolerance limits (ISO 16269:2014) shown in Table 1 were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for copper by 4-acid digestion, where 99% of the time (1-α=0.99) at least 95% of subsamples (ρ=0.95) will have concentrations lying between 1.15 and 1.18 wt.%. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35). ***Please note that tolerance limits pertain to the homogeneity of the CRM only and should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.***

Table 5 below shows the INAA data determined on 20 x 85mg subsamples of OREAS 611. An equivalent scaled version of the results is also provided to demonstrate an appreciation of what this data means if 30g fire assay determinations were undertaken without the normal measurement error associated with this methodology.

Table 5. Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (in ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples showing the equivalent results scaled to a 30g sample mass typical of fire assay determination.

Replicate No	Au 85mg actual	Au 30g equivalent*
1	15.824	16.269
2	16.815	16.322
3	15.785	16.267
4	16.167	16.288
5	16.074	16.283
6	16.229	16.291
7	15.807	16.269
8	16.276	16.293
9	16.326	16.296
10	16.752	16.319
11	16.773	16.320
12	16.193	16.289
13	17.550	16.361
14	15.738	16.265
15	16.463	16.303
16	16.155	16.287
17	16.696	16.316
18	15.910	16.274
19	16.140	16.286
20	16.214	16.290
Mean	16.294	16.294
Median	16.203	16.290
Std Dev.	0.446	0.024
Rel.Std.Dev.	**2.74%**	**0.146%**

*Results calculated for a 30g equivalent sample mass using the formula: $x^{30g\ Eq} = \frac{(x^{INAA} - \bar{X}) \times RSD@30g}{RSD@85mg} + \bar{X}$

where $x^{30g\ Eq}$ = equivalent result calculated for a 30g sample mass
(x^{INAA}) = raw INAA result at 85mg
$\bar{X}$ = mean of 85mg INAA results

The homogeneity of gold has been determined by INAA using the reduced analytical subsample method which utilises the known relationship between standard deviation and analytical subsample weight (Ingamells and Switzer, 1973). In this approach the sample aliquot is substantially reduced to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays should be due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. In this instance a subsample weight of 85 milligrams was employed and the 1RSD of 0.146% was calculated for a 30g fire assay sample (2.74% at 85mg weights) confirms the high level of gold homogeneity in OREAS 611.

The homogeneity of OREAS 611 has also been evaluated in a **nested ANOVA** of the round robin program. Each of the twenty-five round robin laboratories received six samples per CRM and these samples were made up of paired samples from three different, non-adjacent sampling intervals. The purpose of the ANOVA evaluation is to test that no statistically significant difference exists in the variance between-units to that of the variance within-units. This allows an assessment of homogeneity across the entire prepared batch of OREAS 611. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Gold fire assay – 150 samples (25 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Gold aqua regia digestion – 84 samples (14 laboratories each providing analyses on 3 pairs of samples);
- Null Hypothesis, H_0: Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H_0 if *p*-value < 0.05);
- Alternative Hypothesis, H_1: Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

P-values are a measure of probability where values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The datasets were filtered for both individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers prior to the calculation of *p*-values. This process derived *p*-values of 0.9962 for Au by fire assay and 0.7643 for Au by aqua regia digestion. Both *p*-values are insignificant and the Null Hypothesis is retained.

Additionally, none of the other 102 certified values showed significant *p*-values. Please note that only results for constituents present in concentrations well above the detection levels (i.e. >20 x Lower Limit of Detection) for the various methods undertaken were considered for the objective of evaluating homogeneity. It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the packaging run of OREAS 611 and whether the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS 611 is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see ‘Intended Use’ below).

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

1. Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
2. AGAT Laboratories, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
3. Alex Stewart International, Mendoza, Argentina
4. ALS, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
5. ALS, Lima, Peru
6. ALS, Loughrea, Galway, Ireland
7. ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
8. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
9. ANSTO, Lucas Heights, NSW, Australia
10. ARGETEST Mineral Processing, Ankara, Central Anatolia, Turkey
11. Bureau Veritas Commodities Canada Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada
12. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
13. CERTIMIN, Lima, Peru
14. Chrysos Corporation Limited, Kalgoorlie, WA, Australia
15. Chrysos Corporation Limited, Perth, WA, Australia
16. Inspectorate (BV), Lima, Peru
17. Inspectorate America Corporation (BV), Sparks, Nevada, USA
18. Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
19. Intertek Testing Services, Townsville, QLD, Australia
20. Intertek Testing Services Philippines, Cupang, Muntinlupa, Philippines
21. On Site Laboratory Services, Bendigo, VIC, Australia
22. PT Geoservices Ltd, Cikarang, Jakarta Raya, Indonesia
23. PT Intertek Utama Services, Jakarta Timur, DKI Jakarta, Indonesia
24. SGS, Ankara, Anatolia, Turkey
25. SGS Canada Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada
26. SGS de Mexico SA de CV, Cd. Industrial, Durango, Mexico
27. SGS del Peru, Lima, Peru
28. Skyline Assayers & Laboratories, Tucson, Arizona, USA

Please note: Above numbered alphabetical list of participating laboratories <u>does not</u> reflect the Lab ID numbering on the scatter plots below.


Figure 1. Au by Fire Assay in OREAS 611
ppm
SPC.1400.OREAS606~.OREAS 611.4.Fire Assay.Au.Lab.190708.105132.SN
18.0
17.5
17.0
16.5
16.0
15.5
15.0
14.5
14.0
13.5
Lab 1.00...
Lab 2.01...
Lab 2.02...
Lab 2.03...
Lab 2.04...
Lab 2.05...
Lab 2.06...
Lab 2.07...
Lab 2.08...
Lab 2.09...
Lab 2.10...
Lab 2.11...
Lab 2.12...
Lab 2.13...
Lab 2.14...
Lab 2.15...
Lab 2.16...
Lab 2.17...
Lab 2.18...
Lab 2.19...
Lab 2.20...
Lab 2.21...
Lab 2.22...
Lab 2.23...
Lab 2.24...
Lab 2.25...
Lab
Inlier
INAA
Indiv. Outlier
Rec. Value
± 5%
± 3SD


Figure 2. Ag by 4-Acid in OREAS 611
ppm
SPC.1400.OREAS606~.OREAS 611.4.4-Acid.Ag.Lab.190708.105448.SN
90
88
86
84
82
80
78
76
74
72
70
68
66
Lab 2.02...
Lab 2.03...
Lab 2.04...
Lab 2.05...
Lab 2.06...
Lab 2.07...
Lab 2.08...
Lab 2.09...
Lab 2.10...
Lab 2.11...
Lab 2.12...
Lab 2.14...
Lab 2.15...
Lab 2.16...
Lab 2.17...
Lab 2.18...
Lab 2.19...
Lab 2.21...
Lab 2.22...
Lab 2.23...
Lab 2.24...
Lab 2.25...
Lab
Inlier
Indiv. Outlier
Batch Outlier
Rec. Value
± 5%
± 3SD


Figure 3. Cu by 4-Acid in OREAS 611
wt.%
SPC.1400.OREAS606~.OREAS 611.4.4-Acid.Cu.Lab.190708.105546.SN
1.36
1.34
1.32
1.30
1.28
1.26
1.24
1.22
1.20
1.18
1.16
1.14
1.12
1.10
1.08
Lab 2.02...
Lab 2.03...
Lab 2.04...
Lab 2.05...
Lab 2.06...
Lab 2.07...
Lab 2.08...
Lab 2.09...
Lab 2.10...
Lab 2.11...
Lab 2.12...
Lab 2.14...
Lab 2.15...
Lab 2.16...
Lab 2.17...
Lab 2.18...
Lab 2.19...
Lab 2.20...
Lab 2.21...
Lab 2.23...
Lab 2.24...
Lab 2.25...
Lab
Inlier
Indiv. Outlier
Batch Outlier
Rec. Value
± 5%
± 3SD

PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS 611 was prepared, certified and supplied by:

ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION

ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd
37A Hosie Street
Bayswater North VIC 3153
AUSTRALIA

Tel: +613-9729 0333
Fax: +613-9729 8338
Web: www.ore.com.au
Email: info@ore.com.au

METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner to represent the entire batch of prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis.

The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs undertaken by ORE Pty Ltd) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite, and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment as detailed in this report.

Guide ISO/TR 16476:2016, section 5.3.1 describes metrological traceability in reference materials as it pertains to the transformation of the measurand. In this section it states, *"Although the determination of the property value itself can be made traceable to appropriate units through, for example, calibration of the measurement equipment used, steps like the transformation of the sample from one physical (chemical) state to another cannot. Such transformations may only be compared with a reference (when available), or among themselves. For some transformations, reference methods have been defined and may be used in certification projects to evaluate the uncertainty associated with such a transformation. In other cases,* ***only a comparison among different laboratories using the same method is possible. In this case, certification takes place on the basis of agreement among independent measurement results*** *(see ISO Guide 35:2006, Clause 10)."*

COMMUTABILITY

The measurements of the results that underlie the certified values contained in this report were undertaken by methods involving pre-treatment (digestion/fusion) of the sample. This served to reduce the sample to a simple and well understood form permitting calibration using simple solutions of the CRM. Due to these methods being well understood and highly effective, commutability is not an issue for this CRM. All OREAS CRMs are sourced from natural ore minerals meaning they will display similar behaviour as routine 'field' samples in the relevant measurement process. Care should be taken to ensure 'matrix matching' as close as practically achievable. The matrix and mineralisation style of the CRM is described in the 'Source Material' section and users should select appropriate CRMs matching these attributes to their field samples.

INTENDED USE

OREAS 611 is intended to cover all activities needed to produce a measurement result. This includes extraction, possible separation steps and the actual measurement process (the signal producing step). OREAS 611 may be used to calibrate the entire procedure by producing a pure substance CRM transformed into a calibration solution.

OREAS 611 is intended for the following uses:

- For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- For the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;
- For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 611 has been prepared from sulphide bearing ores and concentrate blended with rhyodacite. It contains reactive sulphide (~4.3% S) and has been packaged under nitrogen in single use laminated foil pouches. In its unopened state and under normal conditions of storage the CRM has a shelf life beyond ten years. Its stability will be monitored at regular intervals and purchasers notified if any changes are observed.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The certified values for OREAS 611 refer to the concentration level in its packaged state. It should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis.

HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions such as the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision No.	Date	Changes applied
1	24th July 2019	Edited 'PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES' list.
0	11th July 2019	First publication.

QMS ACCREDITATION

ORE Pty Ltd is accredited to ISO 9001:2015 by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.

CERTIFYING OFFICER

24th July, 2019

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

REFERENCES

Govett, G.J.S. (1983), ed. Handbook of Exploration Geochemistry, Volume 2: Statistics and Data Analysis in Geochemical Prospecting (Variations of accuracy and precision), P.O. Box 330, 1000 AH Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), Talanta 20, 547-568.

ISO Guide 30:2015, Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.

ISO Guide 31:2015, Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

ISO Guide 35:2017, Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.

ISO 16269:2014, Statistical interpretation of data – Part 6: Determination of statistical tolerance intervals.

Munsell Rock Color Book (2014), Rock-Color Chart Committee, Geological Society of America (GSA), 4300 44th Street SE, Grand Rapids, MI 49512.