

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR

PLATINUM GROUP ELEMENT (PGE) ORE

CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL

OREAS 684

Table 1. Certified Values, SDs, 95% Confidence and Tolerance Limits for OREAS 684.

Constituent	Certified Value	1SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Pb Collection Fire Assay						
Au, Gold (ppm)	0.248	0.014	0.242	0.255	0.242	0.255
Pd, Palladium (ppm)	1.72	0.068	1.69	1.76	1.69	1.75
Pt, Platinum (ppm)	3.87	0.213	3.76	3.97	3.78	3.95
NiS Collection Fire Assay						
Au, Gold (ppm)	0.237	0.013	0.224	0.249	0.227	0.246
Ir, Iridium (ppm)	0.10	0.006	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.11
Pd, Palladium (ppm)	1.74	0.048	1.70	1.77	1.70	1.77
Pt, Platinum (ppm)	3.80	0.189	3.67	3.92	3.68	3.92
Rh, Rhodium (ppm)	0.28	0.013	0.28	0.29	0.28	0.29
Ru, Ruthenium (ppm)	0.55	0.031	0.52	0.57	0.53	0.57
Peroxide Fusion ICP						
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	6.02	0.123	5.98	6.07	5.92	6.13
Ba, Barium (ppm)	71	5.1	68	74	69	73
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	0.40	0.07	0.37	0.44	IND	IND
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	4.56	0.200	4.47	4.66	4.45	4.67
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	6.65	0.383	6.30	7.01	6.45	6.86
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	118	8	114	121	114	122
Cr, Chromium (wt.%)	1.36	0.052	1.33	1.39	1.33	1.40
Cu, Copper (ppm)	1001	44	981	1021	975	1028
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.51	0.022	0.50	0.53	0.43	0.59

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding



Project: COA-1101-OREAS684

Printed: 16-March-2018

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	1SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion ICP continued						
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.25	0.04	0.22	0.28	0.22	0.27
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	8.00	0.264	7.88	8.11	7.84	8.15
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	11.7	0.61	11.3	12.1	IND	IND
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	0.72	0.064	0.67	0.77	0.66	0.78
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.190	0.020	0.180	0.201	0.171	0.210
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	3.41	0.270	3.20	3.62	3.24	3.58
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	10.85	0.354	10.70	11.01	10.61	11.10
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.129	0.004	0.127	0.131	0.126	0.132
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	3.16	0.233	3.01	3.31	2.99	3.32
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	2230	84	2192	2269	2175	2286
Pb, Lead (ppm)	11.4	1.5	9.9	12.9	IND	IND
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	0.80	0.041	0.76	0.84	0.76	0.84
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	5.97	0.345	5.72	6.23	5.63	6.32
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	0.455	0.025	0.439	0.470	0.436	0.473
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	19.4	0.63	18.7	20.2	IND	IND
Si, Silicon (wt.%)	22.42	0.812	22.01	22.84	21.75	23.10
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	157	9	152	163	152	163
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.11	0.02	0.10	0.13	IND	IND
Th, Thorium (ppm)	0.74	0.12	0.69	0.79	IND	IND
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.144	0.007	0.141	0.146	0.140	0.147
V, Vanadium (ppm)	180	16	169	191	173	188
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	4.50	0.197	4.38	4.63	4.32	4.69
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.53	0.06	0.50	0.57	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	101	13	92	110	90	111
4-Acid Digestion						
Ag, Silver (ppm)	0.352	0.028	0.334	0.370	0.329	0.375
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	5.96	0.130	5.91	6.01	5.83	6.09
Ba, Barium (ppm)	71	3.6	69	72	69	73
Bi, Bismuth (ppm)	0.36	0.022	0.34	0.37	0.32	0.39
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	4.44	0.160	4.37	4.51	4.32	4.55
Cd, Cadmium (ppm)	0.12	0.01	0.12	0.12	IND	IND
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	6.62	0.278	6.48	6.76	6.41	6.83
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	112	6	109	115	109	114
Cr, Chromium (wt.%)	1.04	0.18	0.91	1.17	0.98	1.10
Cs, Cesium (ppm)	0.26	0.023	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.28
Cu, Copper (ppm)	978	26	969	988	950	1006
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	0.78	0.048	0.74	0.82	0.71	0.85
Er, Erbium (ppm)	0.55	0.028	0.53	0.57	0.51	0.59
Eu, Europium (ppm)	0.24	0.04	0.21	0.27	0.23	0.26
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	7.87	0.322	7.73	8.02	7.71	8.04
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	11.4	0.37	11.2	11.6	11.0	11.8
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	0.70	0.09	0.63	0.77	0.66	0.74

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	1SD	95% Confidence Limits		95% Tolerance Limits	
			Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued						
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	0.37	0.04	0.36	0.39	0.34	0.40
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.18	0.007	0.17	0.18	IND	IND
In, Indium (ppm)	0.030	0.005	0.028	0.032	0.023	0.037
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.152	0.009	0.148	0.156	0.144	0.160
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	3.33	0.174	3.24	3.42	3.21	3.46
Li, Lithium (ppm)	3.95	0.298	3.78	4.11	3.71	4.19
Lu, Lutetium (ppm)	0.087	0.007	0.081	0.092	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	10.78	0.364	10.61	10.96	10.56	11.01
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.127	0.005	0.124	0.129	0.124	0.129
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	1.19	0.15	1.12	1.26	1.07	1.30
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	0.675	0.026	0.664	0.686	0.656	0.694
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	1.19	0.17	1.09	1.28	IND	IND
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	3.14	0.133	3.04	3.24	3.01	3.27
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	2168	124	2111	2226	2124	2212
P, Phosphorus (wt.%)	0.012	0.001	0.011	0.012	0.011	0.013
Pb, Lead (ppm)	11.1	0.86	10.8	11.5	10.6	11.7
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	0.81	0.037	0.78	0.84	0.78	0.84
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	5.67	0.310	5.52	5.82	5.45	5.90
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	0.459	0.032	0.441	0.476	0.444	0.473
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	19.1	1.35	18.5	19.7	18.3	19.9
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	0.69	0.032	0.66	0.72	IND	IND
Sn, Tin (ppm)	0.66	0.12	0.59	0.73	IND	IND
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	161	8	156	165	157	165
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.12	0.008	0.12	0.13	IND	IND
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	0.69	0.11	0.63	0.75	0.58	0.80
Th, Thorium (ppm)	0.77	0.071	0.73	0.80	0.72	0.82
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	0.138	0.007	0.135	0.141	0.133	0.144
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	0.065	0.006	0.062	0.067	IND	IND
Tm, Thulium (ppm)	0.081	0.011	0.077	0.085	IND	IND
U, Uranium (ppm)	0.22	0.03	0.21	0.24	0.21	0.24
V, Vanadium (ppm)	174	10	169	179	169	179
W, Tungsten (ppm)	0.56	0.06	0.54	0.59	IND	IND
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	4.39	0.283	4.25	4.54	4.23	4.56
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	0.56	0.042	0.54	0.59	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	99	8.7	95	103	96	101
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	12.4	1.4	11.6	13.2	11.8	13.0

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS 684.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
NiS Collection Fire Assay								
Os	ppm	0.074	Re	ppm	0.001			
Peroxide Fusion ICP								
Ag	ppm	< 1	In	ppm	< 0.2	Sn	ppm	< 1
As	ppm	< 5	Li	ppm	5.38	Ta	ppm	< 0.5
B	ppm	< 20	Lu	ppm	0.083	Te	ppm	< 1
Be	ppm	< 5	Mo	ppm	1.60	Tl	ppm	< 0.5
Cd	ppm	< 10	Nb	ppm	1.27	Tm	ppm	0.078
Cs	ppm	0.34	P	wt.%	0.016	U	ppm	0.31
Dy	ppm	0.73	Re	ppm	< 0.1	W	ppm	0.56
Ge	ppm	1.47	Sb	ppm	0.19	Zr	ppm	19.4
Hf	ppm	< 1	Se	ppm	5.47			
Ho	ppm	0.17	Sm	ppm	0.70			
4-Acid Digestion								
As	ppm	0.91	Hg	ppm	0.007	Se	ppm	2.47
Be	ppm	0.19	Re	ppm	0.005	Ta	ppm	0.075
Ge	ppm	0.11	Sb	ppm	0.15			
Infrared Combustion								
CO ₂	wt.%	0.436	S	wt.%	0.421			

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

SOURCE MATERIALS

OREAS 684 is a platinum group element (PGE) ore certified reference material (CRM) prepared and certified by Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd. OREAS 684 has been prepared from PGE ores sourced from Merensky Reef ores from the Bushveld Complex, from sites owned and operated by Anglo American Platinum, South Africa. The common minerals of economic importance within a pegmatitic pyroxenite host are sulphides of iron, nickel, copper and alloys of the PGE's.

OREAS 684 is one of a suite of five PGE ore CRMs ranging in 4E concentrations (4E = 4 elements; platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), rhodium (Rh) and gold (Au)) from 0.82 to 6.1ppm.

COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS 684 was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying of materials to constant mass at 105°C;
- Crushing and milling to 100% minus 30 microns;
- Blending in appropriate proportions to achieve the desired grades;
- Packaging in 60g units sealed in laminated foil pouches and 500g units in plastic jars.

ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Twenty three geochemical laboratories participated in the program to certify the analytes reported in Table 1. The following methods were employed:

- Four acid digestion for full ICP-OES and ICP-MS elemental suites (up to 18 laboratories depending on the element);
- Peroxide fusion for full ICP-OES and ICP-MS elemental suites (up to 18 laboratories depending on the element);
- Au, Pt, Pd, Ir, Rh and Ru by nickel sulphide (NiS) collection fire assay with ICP-MS (8 laboratories) or ICP-OES (1 laboratory) finish (9 laboratories reported Ir, Pd, Pt, Rh and Ru, 7 laboratories reported Au, 2 laboratories reported Os and 1 laboratory reported Re);
- Au, Pt and Pd by lead collection fire assay with ICP-OES (17 laboratories) and ICP-MS (3 laboratories) finish;
- Instrumental neutron activation analysis for Au on 20 x 85mg subsamples to confirm homogeneity (1 laboratory – analyses currently underway with results expected 5 March, 2018).

For the round robin program twenty 1kg test units were taken at predetermined intervals during the bagging stage, immediately following homogenisation and are considered representative of the entire batch. The six samples received by each laboratory were obtained by taking two 100g scoop splits from each of three separate test units. This format enabled nested ANOVA treatment of the results to evaluate homogeneity, i.e. to ascertain whether between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance. Table 1 presents the 95 certified values together with their associated 1SD's, 95% confidence and tolerance limits and Table 2 below shows 40 indicative values. Table 3 provides performance gate intervals for the certified values based on their pooled 1SD's and Table 4 shows the gold instrumental neutron activation analysis (INAA) results for twenty 85 milligram subsamples determined by ANSTO in Lucas Heights, NSW, Australia.

Tabulated results of all elements together with analytical method codes, uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and per cent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM³) are presented in the detailed certification data for this CRM (**OREAS 684 DataPack.xlsx**).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Certified Values, Confidence Limits, Standard Deviations and Tolerance Limits (Table 1) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with per cent deviations (i) > 3 and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers. Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if > 2.5 . After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status. The Certified Values are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering.

The 95% Confidence Limits are inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and inter-laboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits. *95% Confidence Limits should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.*

Standard Deviation values (1SDs) are reported in Table 1 and provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. The SD's take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. The SD values thus include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability. OREAS prepared reference materials have a level of homogeneity such that the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself.

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e. after removal of any individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e. the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. **The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.**

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program.

The majority of data generated in the round robin program was produced by a selection of world class laboratories. The SD's thus generated are more constrained than those that would be produced across a randomly selected group of laboratories. To produce more generally achievable SD's the 'pooled' SD's provided in this report include inter-lab bias. This 'one size fits all' approach may require revision at the discretion of the QC manager concerned following careful scrutiny of QC control charts.

Table 3 shows **Performance Gates** calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

Table 3. Performance Gates for OREAS 684.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Pb Collection Fire Assay											
Au, ppm	0.248	0.014	0.221	0.276	0.207	0.289	5.50%	10.99%	16.49%	0.236	0.261
Pd, ppm	1.72	0.068	1.58	1.86	1.52	1.92	3.95%	7.91%	11.86%	1.63	1.81
Pt, ppm	3.87	0.213	3.44	4.29	3.23	4.50	5.51%	11.02%	16.53%	3.67	4.06
NiS Collection Fire Assay											
Au, ppm	0.237	0.013	0.211	0.262	0.199	0.275	5.34%	10.68%	16.01%	0.225	0.248
Ir, ppm	0.10	0.006	0.09	0.11	0.09	0.12	5.38%	10.76%	16.13%	0.10	0.11
Pd, ppm	1.74	0.048	1.64	1.83	1.59	1.88	2.74%	5.48%	8.22%	1.65	1.82
Pt, ppm	3.80	0.189	3.42	4.18	3.23	4.36	4.99%	9.98%	14.97%	3.61	3.99
Rh, ppm	0.28	0.013	0.26	0.31	0.24	0.32	4.61%	9.22%	13.83%	0.27	0.30
Ru, ppm	0.55	0.031	0.48	0.61	0.45	0.64	5.71%	11.41%	17.12%	0.52	0.57
Peroxide Fusion											
Al, wt. %	6.02	0.123	5.78	6.27	5.65	6.39	2.04%	4.08%	6.12%	5.72	6.32
Ba, ppm	71	5.1	61	81	56	86	7.23%	14.46%	21.69%	67	74
Bi, ppm	0.40	0.07	0.27	0.54	0.20	0.61	16.87%	33.74%	50.61%	0.38	0.42
Ca, wt. %	4.56	0.200	4.16	4.96	3.96	5.16	4.38%	8.76%	13.15%	4.33	4.79
Ce, ppm	6.65	0.383	5.89	7.42	5.50	7.80	5.76%	11.52%	17.28%	6.32	6.99
Co, ppm	118	8	102	134	94	141	6.69%	13.39%	20.08%	112	124
Cr, wt. %	1.36	0.052	1.26	1.47	1.21	1.52	3.81%	7.63%	11.44%	1.29	1.43
Cu, ppm	1001	44	914	1089	870	1133	4.38%	8.76%	13.15%	951	1051
Er, ppm	0.51	0.022	0.47	0.56	0.45	0.58	4.34%	8.69%	13.03%	0.49	0.54
Eu, ppm	0.25	0.04	0.17	0.32	0.14	0.35	14.58%	29.17%	43.75%	0.23	0.26
Fe, wt. %	8.00	0.264	7.47	8.52	7.20	8.79	3.30%	6.60%	9.90%	7.60	8.40
Ga, ppm	11.7	0.61	10.5	12.9	9.9	13.5	5.18%	10.36%	15.53%	11.1	12.3
Gd, ppm	0.72	0.064	0.59	0.85	0.53	0.91	8.94%	17.87%	26.81%	0.69	0.76
K, wt. %	0.190	0.020	0.150	0.231	0.129	0.252	10.73%	21.47%	32.20%	0.181	0.200
La, ppm	3.41	0.270	2.87	3.95	2.60	4.22	7.91%	15.82%	23.73%	3.24	3.58
Mg, wt. %	10.85	0.354	10.15	11.56	9.79	11.91	3.26%	6.52%	9.78%	10.31	11.40
Mn, wt. %	0.129	0.004	0.122	0.137	0.118	0.140	2.91%	5.82%	8.73%	0.123	0.136
Nd, ppm	3.16	0.233	2.69	3.63	2.46	3.86	7.39%	14.77%	22.16%	3.00	3.32
Ni, ppm	2230	84	2062	2398	1978	2482	3.76%	7.53%	11.29%	2119	2342
Pb, ppm	11.4	1.5	8.3	14.5	6.8	16.0	13.54%	27.08%	40.62%	10.8	11.9
Pr, ppm	0.80	0.041	0.72	0.88	0.68	0.92	5.14%	10.29%	15.43%	0.76	0.84

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 3 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Peroxide Fusion continued											
Rb, ppm	5.97	0.345	5.28	6.66	4.94	7.01	5.77%	11.54%	17.30%	5.67	6.27
S, wt. %	0.455	0.025	0.406	0.504	0.381	0.528	5.39%	10.78%	16.17%	0.432	0.477
Sc, ppm	19.4	0.63	18.2	20.7	17.6	21.3	3.22%	6.44%	9.66%	18.5	20.4
Si, wt. %	22.42	0.812	20.80	24.05	19.99	24.86	3.62%	7.24%	10.87%	21.30	23.55
Sr, ppm	157	9	139	176	129	186	5.96%	11.92%	17.88%	150	165
Tb, ppm	0.11	0.02	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.16	13.84%	27.67%	41.51%	0.11	0.12
Th, ppm	0.74	0.12	0.50	0.98	0.38	1.10	16.35%	32.71%	49.06%	0.70	0.78
Ti, wt. %	0.144	0.007	0.130	0.157	0.124	0.163	4.56%	9.13%	13.69%	0.136	0.151
V, ppm	180	16	149	212	133	227	8.74%	17.48%	26.22%	171	189
Y, ppm	4.50	0.197	4.11	4.90	3.91	5.10	4.38%	8.77%	13.15%	4.28	4.73
Yb, ppm	0.53	0.06	0.42	0.65	0.36	0.70	10.75%	21.50%	32.26%	0.51	0.56
Zn, ppm	101	13	75	126	62	139	12.68%	25.36%	38.04%	96	106
4-Acid Digestion											
Ag, ppm	0.352	0.028	0.297	0.407	0.269	0.435	7.84%	15.68%	23.51%	0.334	0.370
Al, wt. %	5.96	0.130	5.70	6.22	5.57	6.35	2.17%	4.35%	6.52%	5.66	6.26
Ba, ppm	71	3.6	63	78	60	82	5.17%	10.33%	15.50%	67	74
Bi, ppm	0.36	0.022	0.31	0.40	0.29	0.42	6.03%	12.07%	18.10%	0.34	0.37
Ca, wt. %	4.44	0.160	4.12	4.76	3.96	4.92	3.61%	7.21%	10.82%	4.22	4.66
Cd, ppm	0.12	0.01	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.16	11.88%	23.76%	35.64%	0.11	0.13
Ce, ppm	6.62	0.278	6.07	7.18	5.79	7.46	4.20%	8.41%	12.61%	6.29	6.95
Co, ppm	112	6	100	123	94	129	5.18%	10.36%	15.53%	106	117
Cr, wt. %	1.04	0.18	0.68	1.40	0.50	1.58	17.28%	34.56%	51.84%	0.99	1.09
Cs, ppm	0.26	0.023	0.21	0.30	0.19	0.33	8.84%	17.67%	26.51%	0.24	0.27
Cu, ppm	978	26	927	1029	901	1055	2.62%	5.24%	7.86%	929	1027
Dy, ppm	0.78	0.048	0.68	0.88	0.64	0.93	6.20%	12.39%	18.59%	0.74	0.82
Er, ppm	0.55	0.028	0.49	0.61	0.47	0.64	5.18%	10.35%	15.53%	0.52	0.58
Eu, ppm	0.24	0.04	0.17	0.31	0.13	0.35	15.18%	30.37%	45.55%	0.23	0.25
Fe, wt. %	7.87	0.322	7.23	8.52	6.91	8.84	4.09%	8.18%	12.27%	7.48	8.27
Ga, ppm	11.4	0.37	10.6	12.1	10.3	12.5	3.28%	6.56%	9.84%	10.8	12.0
Gd, ppm	0.70	0.09	0.52	0.88	0.43	0.97	12.90%	25.79%	38.69%	0.67	0.74
Hf, ppm	0.37	0.04	0.30	0.45	0.26	0.49	10.13%	20.27%	30.40%	0.35	0.39
Ho, ppm	0.18	0.007	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.20	4.07%	8.14%	12.20%	0.17	0.18
In, ppm	0.030	0.005	0.020	0.040	0.014	0.045	17.17%	34.35%	51.52%	0.028	0.031
K, wt. %	0.152	0.009	0.134	0.170	0.125	0.179	5.92%	11.85%	17.77%	0.145	0.160
La, ppm	3.33	0.174	2.98	3.68	2.81	3.85	5.22%	10.45%	15.67%	3.17	3.50
Li, ppm	3.95	0.298	3.35	4.55	3.05	4.84	7.56%	15.11%	22.67%	3.75	4.15
Lu, ppm	0.087	0.007	0.072	0.101	0.064	0.109	8.53%	17.07%	25.60%	0.082	0.091
Mg, wt. %	10.78	0.364	10.06	11.51	9.69	11.88	3.37%	6.74%	10.12%	10.25	11.32
Mn, wt. %	0.127	0.005	0.117	0.137	0.112	0.142	3.97%	7.94%	11.91%	0.120	0.133
Mo, ppm	1.19	0.15	0.89	1.49	0.74	1.64	12.71%	25.41%	38.12%	1.13	1.25
Na, wt. %	0.675	0.026	0.624	0.727	0.599	0.752	3.79%	7.58%	11.37%	0.642	0.709
Nb, ppm	1.19	0.17	0.84	1.54	0.66	1.71	14.76%	29.52%	44.28%	1.13	1.24
Nd, ppm	3.14	0.133	2.88	3.41	2.74	3.54	4.23%	8.45%	12.68%	2.99	3.30

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 3 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
Ni, ppm	2168	124	1919	2417	1795	2542	5.74%	11.48%	17.22%	2060	2277
P, wt. %	0.012	0.001	0.010	0.013	0.009	0.014	7.59%	15.19%	22.78%	0.011	0.012
Pb, ppm	11.1	0.86	9.4	12.8	8.5	13.7	7.74%	15.49%	23.23%	10.6	11.7
Pr, ppm	0.81	0.037	0.73	0.88	0.70	0.92	4.62%	9.25%	13.87%	0.77	0.85
Rb, ppm	5.67	0.310	5.05	6.29	4.74	6.60	5.46%	10.92%	16.39%	5.39	5.95
S, wt. %	0.459	0.032	0.395	0.522	0.363	0.554	6.94%	13.88%	20.82%	0.436	0.482
Sc, ppm	19.1	1.35	16.4	21.8	15.1	23.1	7.05%	14.11%	21.16%	18.1	20.0
Sm, ppm	0.69	0.032	0.63	0.76	0.59	0.79	4.68%	9.37%	14.05%	0.66	0.73
Sn, ppm	0.66	0.12	0.42	0.91	0.30	1.03	18.22%	36.45%	54.67%	0.63	0.70
Sr, ppm	161	8	144	177	136	185	5.06%	10.11%	15.17%	153	169
Tb, ppm	0.12	0.008	0.11	0.14	0.10	0.14	6.46%	12.92%	19.37%	0.12	0.13
Te, ppm	0.69	0.11	0.47	0.91	0.36	1.02	15.90%	31.79%	47.69%	0.66	0.73
Th, ppm	0.77	0.071	0.63	0.91	0.55	0.98	9.26%	18.53%	27.79%	0.73	0.81
Ti, wt. %	0.138	0.007	0.125	0.152	0.118	0.159	4.85%	9.70%	14.55%	0.132	0.145
Tl, ppm	0.065	0.006	0.052	0.077	0.046	0.084	9.73%	19.45%	29.18%	0.062	0.068
Tm, ppm	0.081	0.011	0.058	0.103	0.047	0.115	13.89%	27.77%	41.66%	0.077	0.085
U, ppm	0.22	0.03	0.17	0.28	0.14	0.31	12.43%	24.87%	37.30%	0.21	0.24
V, ppm	174	10	154	194	145	203	5.62%	11.24%	16.86%	165	183
W, ppm	0.56	0.06	0.44	0.68	0.38	0.74	10.64%	21.28%	31.92%	0.54	0.59
Y, ppm	4.39	0.283	3.83	4.96	3.54	5.24	6.44%	12.88%	19.32%	4.17	4.61
Yb, ppm	0.56	0.042	0.48	0.65	0.44	0.69	7.53%	15.07%	22.60%	0.53	0.59
Zn, ppm	99	8.7	81	116	73	125	8.78%	17.56%	26.35%	94	104
Zr, ppm	12.4	1.4	9.7	15.1	8.3	16.5	11.02%	22.03%	33.05%	11.8	13.0

Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Tolerance Limits (ISO Guide 3207) were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for platinum (Pt) by lead collection fire assay, where 99% of the time ($1-\alpha=0.99$) at least 95% of subsamples ($\rho=0.95$) will have concentrations lying between 3.78 and 3.95 ppm. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35). *Please note that tolerance limits pertain to the homogeneity of the CRM only and should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.*

For gold, tolerance can be determined by INAA using the reduced analytical subsample method which utilises the known relationship between standard deviation and analytical subsample weight (Ingamells and Switzer, 1973). In this approach the latter parameter is substantially reduced to a point where most of the variability in replicate assays is due to inhomogeneity of the reference material and measurement error becomes negligible. In this instance a subsample weight of 85 milligrams was employed and the 1RSD of 0.325% calculated for a 30g lead collection fire assay sample (6.10% at 85mg weights) confirms the high level of gold homogeneity in OREAS 684. The homogeneity is of a level such that

sampling error is almost negligible for a conventional lead collection fire assay determination.

Table 4. Instrumental Neutron Activation Analysis of Au (ppm) on 20 x 85mg subsamples of OREAS 684.

Replicate No	INAA 85mg
1	0.266
2	0.262
3	0.262
4	0.280
5	0.250
6	0.280
7	0.245
8	0.243
9	0.255
10	0.248
11	0.265
12	0.254
13	0.255
14	0.239
15	0.273
16	0.223
17	0.274
18	0.276
19	0.281
20	0.274
Mean	0.260
Median	0.262
Std Dev.	0.016
Rel.Std.Dev.	6.10%
PDM ³	4.85%

The homogeneity of OREAS 684 has also been evaluated in a **nested ANOVA** of the round robin program. Each of the twenty three round robin laboratories received six samples per CRM and these samples were made up of paired samples from three different, non-adjacent sampling intervals selected from the pool of twenty 1kg test units. The purpose of the ANOVA evaluation is to test that no statistically significant difference exists in the variance between-units to that of the variance within-units. This allows an assessment of homogeneity across the entire prepared batch of OREAS 684. The test was performed using the following parameters:

- Null Hypothesis, H_0 : Between-unit variance is no greater than within-unit variance (reject H_0 if p -value < 0.05);
- Alternative Hypothesis, H_1 : Between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

P -values are a measure of probability where values less than 0.05 indicate a greater than 95% probability that the observed differences in within-unit and between-unit variances are real. The datasets were filtered for both individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers

prior to the calculation of p -values. This process derived no significant p -values across the entire 95 certified values except for Scandium (Sc), Gallium (Sr) and Thorium (Th) by peroxide fusion and Europium (Eu) and Gadolinium (Gd) by 4-acid digestion. These cases are all for elements in low concentration levels and are close to their lower levels of detection (LLD) where reading resolution errors can lead to 'false negatives' ('significant' p -values that are in fact irrelevant). Usually data becomes more reliable and meaningful when the concentration levels are at least twenty times the LLD. 'False negatives' can also be due to random statistical probability (100 certified values x 5% significance level) as there is no other supporting evidence to suspect greater between-unit variance compared with within-unit variance. The null hypothesis is therefore retained.

It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the packaging run of OREAS 684 and whether the variance between two subsamples from the same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS 684 is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see 'Intended Use' below).

PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

1. Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
2. AGAT Laboratories, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada
3. ALS, Johannesburg, South Africa
4. ALS, Loughrea, Galway, Ireland
5. ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
6. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
7. Anglo Research Iron Ore Laboratory, Johannesburg, South Africa
8. Bureau Veritas Commodities Canada Ltd, Vancouver, BC, Canada
9. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Adelaide, SA, Australia
10. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
11. Bureau Veritas Kalassay, Perth, WA, Australia
12. Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
13. Labtium Oy, Saarenkylä, Rovaniemi, Finland
14. MINTEK Analytical Services, Randburg, South Africa
15. Ontario Geological Survey, Sudbury, Ontario, Canada
16. Set Point Laboratory, Mokopane, Limpopo, South Africa
17. SGS, Randfontein, Gauteng, South Africa
18. SGS Australia Mineral Services, Perth, WA, Australia

19. SGS Canada Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada
20. SGS Lakefield Research Ltd, Lakefield, Ontario, Canada
21. SGS Mineral Services, Townsville, QLD, Australia
22. SGS South Africa Pty Ltd, Rustenburg, South Africa
23. Trojan Ni Mine Lab, Bindura, Zimbabwe

PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS 684 is prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd
37A Hosie Street
Bayswater North VIC 3153
AUSTRALIA

Tel: +613-9729 0333
Fax: +613-9729 8338
Web: www.ore.com.au
Email: info@ore.com.au

It is packaged in unit sizes of 60g (single-use laminated foil pouches) and 500g (plastic jars).

INTENDED USE

OREAS 684 is intended for the following uses:

- For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- For the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;
- For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

OREAS 684 has been prepared from primary PGE ores from the Dishaba deposit. It is low in reactive sulphide (~0.459% S) and in its unopened state and under normal conditions of storage has a shelf life beyond ten years. Its stability will be monitored at regular intervals and purchasers notified if any changes are observed.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The certified values for OREAS 684 refer to the concentration level in its packaged state. It should not be dried prior to weighing and analysis.

HANDLING INSTRUCTIONS

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions such as the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner to represent the entire batch of prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis. The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite, and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment as detailed in this report.

LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

QMS ACCREDITED

ORE Pty Ltd is accredited to ISO 9001:2015 by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.



CERTIFYING OFFICER

16th March, 2018

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

REFERENCES

- Ingamells, C. O. and Switzer, P. (1973), Talanta 20, 547-568.
- ISO Guide 30 (2015), Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.
- ISO Guide 31 (2015), Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.
- ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.
- ISO Guide 35 (2017), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.