



ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856  
37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA  
☎ 61 3 9729 0333 ☎ 61 3 9729 8338  
📧 info@ore.com.au 🌐 www.oreas.com

**CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR**

**CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL**

**OREAS C26e**

**Basalt Blank Chip**

**(Olivine tholeiite, Newer Volcanics Province, Victoria, Australia)**



Document: COA-1699-OREASC26e-R1

(Template:BUP-70-10-01 Rev:2.0)

25-Aug-2025

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	5
SOURCE MATERIAL.....	6
PHYSICAL PROPERTIES .....	6
COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES .....	6
ANALYTICAL PROGRAM .....	7
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	7
Certified Values and their uncertainty intervals .....	7
Indicative (uncertified) values.....	8
Standard Deviation.....	8
Homogeneity Evaluation .....	8
PERFORMANCE GATES.....	9
PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES .....	11
PREPARER AND SUPPLIER.....	11
METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY .....	11
COMMUTABILITY .....	12
INTENDED USE .....	12
PERIOD OF VALIDITY & STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS.....	13
INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING & CORRECT USE.....	13
LEGAL NOTICE.....	14
DOCUMENT HISTORY .....	14
QMS CERTIFICATION .....	14
CERTIFYING OFFICER .....	14
REFERENCES .....	14

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Certified Values, Uncertainty & Tolerance Limits for OREAS C26e.....	3
Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS C26e.....	4
Table 3. Particle size distribution of OREAS C26e. ....	6
Table 4. Physical properties of OREAS C26e.....	6
Table 5. Performance Gates for OREAS C26e.....	9

**Table 1. Certified Values, Uncertainty & Tolerance Limits for OREAS C26e.**

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Expanded Uncertainty		95% Tolerance Limits	
		Low	High	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay					
Au, Gold (ppb)	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND
4-Acid Digestion					
Al, Aluminium (wt.%)	7.44	7.28	7.59	7.28	7.59
Ba, Barium (ppm)	263	249	277	254	271
Be, Beryllium (ppm)	1.12	1.03	1.22	1.07	1.18
Ca, Calcium (wt.%)	5.93	5.84	6.01	5.84	6.01
Ce, Cerium (ppm)	38.2	37.0	39.3	37.1	39.2
Co, Cobalt (ppm)	45.7	43.9	47.5	44.3	47.1
Cr, Chromium (ppm)	180	159	201	168	191
Cs, Caesium (ppm)	0.69	0.64	0.75	0.66	0.72
Cu, Copper (ppm)	45.4	43.0	47.7	43.9	46.8
Dy, Dysprosium (ppm)	4.53	4.30	4.76	4.39	4.66
Er, Erbium (ppm)	2.17	2.08	2.27	2.11	2.24
Eu, Europium (ppm)	1.70	1.63	1.78	1.63	1.77
Fe, Iron (wt.%)	7.87	7.73	8.00	7.75	7.98
Ga, Gallium (ppm)	20.2	19.5	20.9	19.6	20.8
Gd, Gadolinium (ppm)	5.32	5.04	5.60	5.15	5.50
Hf, Hafnium (ppm)	3.54	3.31	3.78	3.38	3.70
Ho, Holmium (ppm)	0.83	0.77	0.89	0.78	0.87
In, Indium (ppm)	0.062	0.055	0.069	0.057	0.067
K, Potassium (wt.%)	0.755	0.737	0.773	0.736	0.774
La, Lanthanum (ppm)	18.5	17.8	19.2	18.1	18.9
Li, Lithium (ppm)	7.50	7.01	7.98	7.22	7.77
Lu, Lutetium (ppm)	0.25	0.23	0.26	IND	IND
Mg, Magnesium (wt.%)	4.33	4.18	4.49	4.23	4.43
Mn, Manganese (wt.%)	0.110	0.107	0.113	0.108	0.112
Mo, Molybdenum (ppm)	1.61	1.49	1.74	1.45	1.78
Na, Sodium (wt.%)	2.35	2.29	2.41	2.30	2.40
Nb, Niobium (ppm)	21.9	21.0	22.9	21.1	22.7
Nd, Neodymium (ppm)	20.4	19.5	21.2	19.8	20.9
Ni, Nickel (ppm)	152	145	160	149	156
P, Phosphorus (wt.%)	0.150	0.145	0.155	0.146	0.154
Pb, Lead (ppm)	3.03	2.55	3.51	2.74	3.32
Pr, Praseodymium (ppm)	4.71	4.56	4.87	4.57	4.86
Rb, Rubidium (ppm)	21.9	21.3	22.5	21.4	22.4
Re, Rhenium (ppm)	< 0.002	IND	IND	IND	IND
S, Sulphur (wt.%)	< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND

SI unit equivalents: ppb (parts per billion;  $1 \times 10^{-9}$ )  $\equiv$   $\mu\text{g/kg}$ ; ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$   $\text{mg/kg}$ ; wt.% (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

IND: indeterminate; Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 1 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	95% Expanded Uncertainty		95% Tolerance Limits	
		Low	High	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued					
Sb, Antimony (ppm)	0.075	0.047	0.103	IND	IND
Sc, Scandium (ppm)	20.0	19.5	20.6	19.5	20.6
Sm, Samarium (ppm)	4.91	4.48	5.35	4.75	5.08
Sn, Tin (ppm)	1.48	1.32	1.63	IND	IND
Sr, Strontium (ppm)	416	406	427	409	423
Ta, Tantalum (ppm)	1.31	1.23	1.38	1.27	1.34
Tb, Terbium (ppm)	0.79	0.75	0.83	0.75	0.83
Te, Tellurium (ppm)	< 0.05	IND	IND	IND	IND
Th, Thorium (ppm)	2.92	2.79	3.05	2.82	3.02
Ti, Titanium (wt.%)	1.07	1.04	1.10	1.04	1.10
Tl, Thallium (ppm)	0.071	0.054	0.088	IND	IND
Tm, Thulium (ppm)	0.28	0.25	0.32	IND	IND
U, Uranium (ppm)	0.75	0.71	0.80	0.73	0.77
V, Vanadium (ppm)	155	148	162	151	158
W, Tungsten (ppm)	0.45	0.41	0.48	IND	IND
Y, Yttrium (ppm)	21.0	20.4	21.7	20.6	21.4
Yb, Ytterbium (ppm)	1.74	1.66	1.83	IND	IND
Zn, Zinc (ppm)	112	106	117	109	115
Zr, Zirconium (ppm)	138	131	144	134	142

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt.% (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

IND = indeterminate; Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Table 2. Indicative Values for OREAS C26e.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
<b>Pb Fire Assay</b>								
Pd	ppb	< 5	Pt	ppb	< 5			
<b>4-Acid Digestion</b>								
Ag	ppm	0.036	Bi	ppm	0.012	Ge	ppm	0.50
As	ppm	0.62	Cd	ppm	0.054	Se	ppm	< 0.5
<b>Borate Fusion XRF</b>								
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	14.32	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	11.33	SiO <sub>2</sub>	wt.%	51.60
As	ppm	< 10	K <sub>2</sub> O	wt.%	0.904	Sn	ppm	45.0
BaO	ppm	442	MgO	wt.%	7.39	SO <sub>3</sub>	wt.%	0.015
CaO	wt.%	8.30	MnO	wt.%	0.147	SrO	ppm	517
Cl	ppm	135	Na <sub>2</sub> O	wt.%	3.15	TiO <sub>2</sub>	wt.%	1.89
Co	ppm	53	Ni	ppm	162	V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	ppm	290
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	ppm	370	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	wt.%	0.338	Zn	ppm	132
Cu	ppm	90	Pb	ppm	36.7	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	ppm	245

SI unit equivalents: ppb (parts per billion;  $1 \times 10^{-9}$ )  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/kg; ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt.% (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

Table 2 continued.

Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value	Constituent	Unit	Value
<b>Laser Ablation ICP-MS</b>								
Ag	ppm	< 0.1	Hf	ppm	3.67	Sm	ppm	5.19
As	ppm	0.47	Ho	ppm	0.86	Sn	ppm	1.47
Ba	ppm	267	In	ppm	0.046	Sr	ppm	406
Be	ppm	1.10	La	ppm	18.7	Ta	ppm	1.33
Bi	ppm	< 0.02	Lu	ppm	0.25	Tb	ppm	0.81
Cd	ppm	0.067	Mn	wt. %	0.110	Te	ppm	< 0.2
Ce	ppm	37.3	Mo	ppm	1.30	Th	ppm	2.89
Co	ppm	47.4	Nb	ppm	22.6	Ti	wt. %	1.12
Cr	ppm	246	Nd	ppm	20.4	Tl	ppm	< 0.2
Cs	ppm	0.69	Ni	ppm	160	Tm	ppm	0.31
Cu	ppm	45.0	Pb	ppm	3.00	U	ppm	0.79
Dy	ppm	4.52	Pr	ppm	4.87	V	ppm	170
Er	ppm	2.16	Rb	ppm	21.5	W	ppm	0.46
Eu	ppm	1.72	Re	ppm	0.007	Y	ppm	21.5
Ga	ppm	20.0	Sb	ppm	< 0.1	Yb	ppm	1.75
Gd	ppm	5.24	Sc	ppm	20.6	Zn	ppm	108
Ge	ppm	1.16	Se	ppm	< 5	Zr	ppm	140
<b>Thermogravimetry</b>								
LOI <sup>1000</sup>	wt. %	0.328						
<b>Infrared Combustion</b>								
C	wt. %	0.150	S	wt. %	< 0.1			

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt. % (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

Note: the number of significant figures reported is not a reflection of the level of certainty of stated values. They are instead an artefact of ORE's in-house CRM-specific LIMS.

## INTRODUCTION

OREAS reference materials are intended to provide a low-cost method of evaluating and improving the quality of analysis of geological samples. To the geologist they provide a means of implementing quality control in analytical data sets generated in exploration from the grass roots level through to prospect evaluation, and in grade control at mining operations. To the analyst they provide an effective means of calibrating analytical equipment, assessing new techniques and routinely monitoring in-house procedures.

OREAS reference materials enable users to successfully achieve process control of these tasks because the observed variance from repeated analysis has its origin almost exclusively in the analytical process rather than the reference material itself. In evaluating laboratory performance with this CRM, the section headed 'Instructions for correct use' should be read carefully.

Table 1 presents the certified values and their associated 95% expanded uncertainties and tolerance limits, Table 2 provides indicative (non-certified) values including major and trace elements by borate fusion XRF and laser ablation with ICP-MS, Table 3 provides an indicative particle size distribution, Table 4 provides some indicative physical properties and Table 5 provides performance gate intervals (see 'Performance Gates' section below).

Tabulated results of all analytes together with uncorrected means, medians, standard deviations, relative standard deviations and per cent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM<sup>3</sup>) are presented in the detailed certification data for this CRM (**OREAS C26e-DataPack.1.0.221124\_173536.xlsx**).

## SOURCE MATERIAL

OREAS C26e is a basalt blank chip Certified Reference Material (CRM) prepared from rocks sourced from a quarry containing fresh olivine tholeiite (Newer Volcanics Province), near Melbourne (Victoria), Australia.

## PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

OREAS C26e was tested at ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd's onsite facility for various physical properties. A sieve analysis was performed on a 1kg sample to determine the particle size distribution of OREAS C26e. Table 3 presents the findings that should be used for informational purposes only.

**Table 3. Particle size distribution of OREAS C26e.**

Distribution	% (mass fraction)
Above 12.7mm	0
Under 12.7mm; Above 6.35mm	11.2
Under 6.35mm; Above 3.18mm	20.5
Under 3.18mm; Above 2mm	11.4
Under 2mm; Above 1.27mm	11.7
Under 1.27mm; Above 0.5mm	11.4
Under 0.5mm	33.4

Table 4 presents the bulk density, moisture percentage and Munsell colour code for OREAS C26e. These findings should be used for informational purposes only.

**Table 4. Physical properties of OREAS C26e.**

Bulk Density (g/L)	Moisture (%)	Munsell Notation <sup>‡</sup>	Munsell Colour <sup>‡</sup>
1635	1.78	N5	Medium Gray

<sup>‡</sup>The Munsell Rock Color Chart helps geologists and archeologists communicate with colour more effectively by cross-referencing ISCC-NBS colour names with unique Munsell alpha-numeric colour notations for rock colour samples.

## COMMUNITION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material constituting OREAS C26e was prepared in the following manner:

- Drying to constant mass at 105° C;

- Crushing to achieve a nominal particle size of minus 8mm to simulate RC drill chip samples;
- Homogenisation using a rotary splitter;
- Packaging in 500g units into sealed robust barrier bags and 200kg units into 44-gallon (166L) drums.

## ANALYTICAL PROGRAM

Ten commercial analytical laboratories participated in the program to characterise the elements reported in Table 1. The following methods were employed:

- Au via 25-40g fire assay with ICP-MS (4 laboratories) and ICP-OES (6 laboratories) finish.
- Full elemental suite by four acid digestion ( $\text{HNO}_3\text{-HClO}_4\text{-HCl-HF}$ ) with ICP-OES and ICP-MS finish (10 laboratories).

Major and trace elements were also characterised by Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical (Perth, Australia) using borate fusion XRF ( $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  to  $\text{TiO}_2$ ), laser ablation with ICP-MS (Ag to Zr), LOI at  $1000^\circ\text{C}$  and C+S by infrared combustion furnace (see Table 2 'Indicative Values').

For the round robin program ten 1kg samples were taken at 10 predetermined sampling intervals during rotary splitting and are considered representative of the entire prepared batch of OREAS C26e. These 10 x 1kg samples were pulverised (to 95% passing 75 microns), homogenised and each split into six 110g subsamples. Six 110g samples were submitted to each laboratory for analysis. The samples received by each laboratory were obtained by taking two samples from each of three separate 1kg test units. This format enabled a nested ANOVA treatment of the results to evaluate homogeneity, i.e., to ascertain whether between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance (see 'Homogeneity Evaluation' section).

## STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

**Certified Values and their uncertainty intervals** (Table 5) have been determined for each analyte following removal of individual, laboratory dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration).

For individual outliers within a laboratory batch the z-score test is used in combination with a second method that determines the per cent deviation of the individual value from the batch median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores  $> 2.5$  and with per cent deviations (i)  $> 3$  and (ii) more than three times the average absolute per cent deviation for the batch. In certain instances, statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers.

Each laboratory data set mean is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if  $> 2.5$ . After individual and laboratory data set (batch) outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with those values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

**Certified Values** are the means of accepted laboratory means after outlier filtering and are the present best estimate of the true value.

**The 95% Expanded Uncertainty** provides a 95% probability that the true value of the analyte under consideration lies between the upper and lower limits and is calculated according to the method in ISO Guides [6,16]. All known or suspected sources of bias have been investigated or taken into account.

**Indicative (uncertified) values** (Table 2) are present where the number of laboratories reporting a particular analyte is insufficient ( $< 5$ ) to support certification. These major and trace element characterisation values are presented for informational purposes only.

**Standard Deviation** intervals (Table 5) provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement uncertainty and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. The Standard Deviation values include all sources of measurement uncertainty: between-lab variance, within-run variance (precision errors) and CRM variability.

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program (see 'Instructions for Correct Use' section for more detail).

The SD for each analyte's certified value is calculated from the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e., after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers (single iteration). These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e., the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM.

***The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled accepted analyses generated from the certification program.***

### **Homogeneity Evaluation**

The tolerance limits (ISO 16269:2014) in Table 5 were determined using an analysis of precision errors method and are considered a conservative estimate of true homogeneity. The meaning of tolerance limits may be illustrated for copper (by 4-acid digestion), where 99% of the time ( $1-\alpha=0.99$ ) at least 95% of subsamples ( $p=0.95$ ) will have concentrations lying between 43.9 and 46.8ppm. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

***Please note that tolerance limits pertain to the homogeneity of the CRM only and should not be used as control limits for laboratory performance.***

The homogeneity of OREAS C26e has also been evaluated in an ANOVA study for all certified analytes present in concentrations that are at least 20 times the lower limit of detection. No significant  $p$ -values were found indicating that no evidence exists that between-unit variance is greater than within-unit variance.

It is important to note that ANOVA is not an absolute measure of homogeneity. Rather, it establishes whether or not the analytes are distributed in a similar manner throughout the packaging run of OREAS C26e and whether the variance between two subsamples from the



same unit is statistically distinguishable to the variance from two subsamples taken from any two separate units. A reference material therefore, can possess poor absolute homogeneity yet still pass a relative homogeneity test if the within-unit heterogeneity is large and similar across all units.

Based on the statistical analysis of the results of the inter-laboratory certification program it can be concluded that OREAS C26e is sufficiently homogenous and is fit-for-purpose as a certified reference material (see 'Intended Use' below).

## PERFORMANCE GATES

Table 5 below shows intervals calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned (also see 'Intended Use' section below). Westgard Rules extend the basics of single-rule QC monitoring using multi-rules (for more information visit [www.westgard.com/mltirule.htm](http://www.westgard.com/mltirule.htm)). A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value.

Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow. One approach used at commercial laboratories is to set the acceptance criteria at twice the detection level (DL)  $\pm$  10%.

*I.e., Certified Value  $\pm$  10%  $\pm$  2DL (adapted from Govett, 1983).*

**Table 5. Performance Gates for OREAS C26e.**

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
Pb Fire Assay											
Au, ppb	< 1	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
4-Acid Digestion											
Al, wt. %	7.44	0.133	7.17	7.70	7.04	7.83	1.78%	3.57%	5.35%	7.06	7.81
Ba, ppm	263	9	244	282	235	291	3.55%	7.11%	10.66%	250	276
Be, ppm	1.12	0.067	0.99	1.26	0.92	1.33	6.00%	12.00%	18.00%	1.07	1.18
Ca, wt. %	5.93	0.081	5.77	6.09	5.68	6.17	1.37%	2.73%	4.10%	5.63	6.22
Ce, ppm	38.2	0.99	36.2	40.1	35.2	41.1	2.58%	5.17%	7.75%	36.3	40.1
Co, ppm	45.7	1.29	43.1	48.3	41.8	49.6	2.83%	5.65%	8.48%	43.4	48.0
Cr, ppm	180	25	129	230	104	256	14.09%	28.18%	42.27%	171	189
Cs, ppm	0.69	0.033	0.63	0.76	0.59	0.79	4.80%	9.59%	14.39%	0.66	0.73

SI unit equivalents: ppb (parts per billion;  $1 \times 10^{-9}$ )  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/kg; ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt. % (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding; IND = indeterminate.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 5 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
Cu, ppm	45.4	2.24	40.9	49.8	38.7	52.1	4.93%	9.86%	14.80%	43.1	47.6
Dy, ppm	4.53	0.144	4.24	4.82	4.10	4.96	3.18%	6.35%	9.53%	4.30	4.75
Er, ppm	2.17	0.061	2.05	2.30	1.99	2.36	2.79%	5.58%	8.37%	2.07	2.28
Eu, ppm	1.70	0.043	1.62	1.79	1.57	1.83	2.53%	5.06%	7.59%	1.62	1.79
Fe, wt. %	7.87	0.109	7.65	8.08	7.54	8.19	1.38%	2.76%	4.14%	7.47	8.26
Ga, ppm	20.2	0.59	19.0	21.4	18.4	22.0	2.91%	5.82%	8.73%	19.2	21.2
Gd, ppm	5.32	0.154	5.01	5.63	4.86	5.79	2.90%	5.80%	8.69%	5.06	5.59
Hf, ppm	3.54	0.242	3.06	4.03	2.82	4.27	6.82%	13.64%	20.46%	3.37	3.72
Ho, ppm	0.83	0.034	0.76	0.90	0.73	0.93	4.12%	8.23%	12.35%	0.79	0.87
In, ppm	0.062	0.004	0.053	0.071	0.049	0.076	7.24%	14.47%	21.71%	0.059	0.065
K, wt. %	0.755	0.024	0.707	0.803	0.683	0.827	3.16%	6.32%	9.47%	0.717	0.793
La, ppm	18.5	0.61	17.3	19.8	16.7	20.4	3.28%	6.56%	9.83%	17.6	19.5
Li, ppm	7.50	0.344	6.81	8.18	6.46	8.53	4.59%	9.18%	13.76%	7.12	7.87
Lu, ppm	0.25	0.009	0.23	0.26	0.22	0.27	3.51%	7.01%	10.52%	0.23	0.26
Mg, wt. %	4.33	0.111	4.11	4.55	4.00	4.66	2.56%	5.13%	7.69%	4.11	4.55
Mn, wt. %	0.110	0.002	0.106	0.114	0.104	0.116	1.76%	3.51%	5.27%	0.105	0.116
Mo, ppm	1.61	0.081	1.45	1.77	1.37	1.86	5.05%	10.10%	15.15%	1.53	1.69
Na, wt. %	2.35	0.048	2.25	2.45	2.21	2.50	2.05%	4.11%	6.16%	2.23	2.47
Nb, ppm	21.9	1.02	19.9	23.9	18.9	25.0	4.64%	9.29%	13.93%	20.8	23.0
Nd, ppm	20.4	0.54	19.3	21.4	18.8	22.0	2.64%	5.28%	7.93%	19.4	21.4
Ni, ppm	152	8	137	168	129	176	5.18%	10.36%	15.53%	145	160
P, wt. %	0.150	0.004	0.142	0.158	0.138	0.162	2.67%	5.34%	8.01%	0.143	0.158
Pb, ppm	3.03	0.38	2.28	3.79	1.90	4.16	12.43%	24.85%	37.28%	2.88	3.19
Pr, ppm	4.71	0.113	4.49	4.94	4.37	5.05	2.40%	4.81%	7.21%	4.48	4.95
Rb, ppm	21.9	0.58	20.7	23.1	20.1	23.7	2.67%	5.33%	8.00%	20.8	23.0
Re, ppm	< 0.002	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
S, wt. %	< 0.01	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Sb, ppm	0.075	0.021	0.034	0.116	0.013	0.136	27.41%	54.82%	82.23%	0.071	0.079
Sc, ppm	20.0	0.32	19.4	20.7	19.1	21.0	1.60%	3.21%	4.81%	19.0	21.1
Sm, ppm	4.91	0.212	4.49	5.34	4.28	5.55	4.32%	8.64%	12.96%	4.67	5.16
Sn, ppm	1.48	0.110	1.26	1.70	1.15	1.81	7.42%	14.84%	22.26%	1.40	1.55
Sr, ppm	416	11	394	439	382	451	2.74%	5.48%	8.22%	396	437
Ta, ppm	1.31	0.083	1.14	1.47	1.06	1.55	6.36%	12.72%	19.08%	1.24	1.37
Tb, ppm	0.79	0.024	0.74	0.84	0.72	0.86	3.06%	6.13%	9.19%	0.75	0.83
Te, ppm	< 0.05	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND	IND
Th, ppm	2.92	0.098	2.72	3.12	2.63	3.22	3.37%	6.73%	10.10%	2.77	3.07
Ti, wt. %	1.07	0.026	1.02	1.12	0.99	1.15	2.47%	4.95%	7.42%	1.02	1.12
Tl, ppm	0.071	0.008	0.055	0.087	0.048	0.094	10.96%	21.91%	32.87%	0.067	0.075
Tm, ppm	0.28	0.020	0.25	0.32	0.23	0.34	6.89%	13.77%	20.66%	0.27	0.30
U, ppm	0.75	0.039	0.67	0.83	0.63	0.87	5.25%	10.49%	15.74%	0.71	0.79

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt.% (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding; IND = indeterminate.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

Table 5 continued.

Constituent	Certified Value	Absolute Standard Deviations					Relative Standard Deviations			5% window	
		1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
4-Acid Digestion continued											
V, ppm	155	6	142	168	136	174	4.16%	8.32%	12.48%	147	163
W, ppm	0.45	0.05	0.35	0.54	0.31	0.59	10.63%	21.25%	31.88%	0.43	0.47
Y, ppm	21.0	0.62	19.8	22.3	19.2	22.9	2.94%	5.87%	8.81%	20.0	22.1
Yb, ppm	1.74	0.048	1.65	1.84	1.60	1.89	2.77%	5.54%	8.31%	1.66	1.83
Zn, ppm	112	6	99	124	93	130	5.50%	11.00%	16.50%	106	117
Zr, ppm	138	7	124	152	116	159	5.17%	10.35%	15.52%	131	145

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million;  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ )  $\equiv$  mg/kg; wt.% (weight per cent)  $\equiv$  % (mass fraction).

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding; IND = indeterminate.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

## PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

1. Actlabs, Ancaster, Ontario, Canada
2. ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
3. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
4. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Adelaide, SA, Australia
5. Bureau Veritas Geoanalytical, Perth, WA, Australia
6. Intertek Genalysis, Adelaide, SA, Australia
7. Intertek Genalysis, Perth, WA, Australia
8. Intertek Testing Services, Townsville, QLD, Australia
9. MinAnalytical Services, Perth, WA, Australia
10. SGS Canada Inc., Vancouver, BC, Canada

## PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material OREAS C26e was prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd  
37A Hosie Street  
Bayswater North VIC 3153  
AUSTRALIA

Tel: +613-9729 0333  
Fax: +613-9729 8338  
Web: [www.oreas.com](http://www.oreas.com)  
Email: [info@ore.com.au](mailto:info@ore.com.au)

## METROLOGICAL TRACEABILITY

The analytical samples were selected in a manner representative of the entire batch of the prepared CRM. This 'representivity' was maintained in each submitted laboratory sample batch and ensures the user that the data is traceable from sample selection through to the analytical results that underlie the consensus values. Each analytical data set has been validated by its assayer through the inclusion of internal reference materials and QC checks during analysis.

The laboratories were chosen on the basis of their competence (from past performance in inter-laboratory programs undertaken by ORE Pty Ltd) for a particular analytical method, analyte or analyte suite and sample matrix. Most of these laboratories have and maintain ISO 17025 accreditation. The certified values presented in this report are calculated from the means of accepted data following robust statistical treatment, as detailed in this report. Guide ISO/TR 16476:2016, section 5.3.1 describes metrological traceability in reference materials as it pertains to the transformation of the measurand. In this section it states, *“Although the determination of the property value itself can be made traceable to appropriate units through, for example, calibration of the measurement equipment used, steps like the transformation of the sample from one physical (chemical) state to another cannot. Such transformations may only be compared with a reference (when available), or among themselves. For some transformations, reference methods have been defined and may be used in certification projects to evaluate the uncertainty associated with such a transformation. In other cases, only a comparison among different laboratories using the same procedure is possible. In this case, it is impossible to demonstrate absence of method bias; therefore, the result is an operationally defined measurand (ISO Guide 35:2017, 9.2.4c).”* Certification takes place on the basis of agreement among operationally defined, independent measurement results.

## COMMUTABILITY

The measurements of the results that underlie the certified values contained in this report were undertaken by methods involving pre-treatment (digestion/fusion) of the sample. This served to reduce the sample to a simple and well understood form permitting calibration using simple solutions of the CRM. Due to these methods being well understood and highly effective, commutability is not an issue for this CRM. All OREAS CRMs are sourced from naturally occurring rocks and sediments meaning they will display similar behaviour to routine ‘field’ samples in the relevant measurement process. Care should be taken to ensure ‘matrix matching’ as close as practically achievable. The matrix and mineralisation style (if applicable) of the CRM is described in the ‘Source Material’ section and users should select appropriate CRMs matching these attributes to their field samples.

## INTENDED USE

OREAS C26e is intended to cover all activities needed to produce a measurement result. This includes preparation of the sample, extraction, possible separation steps and the actual measurement process (the signal producing step). OREAS C26e may be used to calibrate the entire procedure by producing a pure substance CRM transformed into a calibration solution.

OREAS C26e is intended for the following uses:

- For the monitoring of sample contamination (due to preparation and/or analysis) in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- For the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of analytes reported in Table 1 in geological samples;
- For the verification of analytical methods for analytes reported in Table 1;
- For the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of analytes reported in Table 1.

## PERIOD OF VALIDITY & STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

The certification of OREAS C26e remains valid, within the specified measurement uncertainties, until November 2037, provided the CRM is handled and stored in accordance with the instructions given below. This certification is nullified if the CRM is any way changed or contaminated.

Store in a clean and cool dry place away from direct sunlight.

Long-term stability will be monitored at appropriate intervals and purchasers notified if any changes are observed. The period of validity may well be indefinite and will be reassessed prior to expiry with the aim of extending the validity if possible.

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR HANDLING & CORRECT USE

### Single-use 500g bags

The entire 500g bag of OREAS C26e should be submitted to the laboratory for preparation (to a minimum specification of 85% passing 75 microns), subsampling and analysis. It is the user's responsibility to prevent contamination and avoid prolonged exposure of the sample to the atmosphere prior to analysis.

Fine powders pose a risk to eyes and lungs and therefore standard precautions including the use of safety glasses and dust masks are advised.

Certified values refer to the concentration levels in the packaged state. There is no need for drying prior to weighing and analysis.

### Minimum analytical sample size

As a practical guide, the minimum mass of sample used should match the typical mass that the laboratories used in the interlaboratory certification program. This means that different sample masses should be used depending on the operationally defined methodology.

- Au by fire assay:  $\geq 25\text{g}$ ;
- 4-acid digestion with ICP-OES and/or MS finish:  $\geq 0.25\text{g}$ .

### QC monitoring using multiples of the Standard Deviation (SD)

In the application of SD's in monitoring performance it is important to note that not all laboratories function at the same level of proficiency and that different methods in use at a particular laboratory have differing levels of precision. Each laboratory has its own inherent SD (for a specific concentration level and analyte-method pair) based on the analytical process and this SD is not directly related to the round robin program.

The majority of data generated in the round robin program was produced by a selection of world class laboratories. The SD's thus generated are more constrained than those that would be produced across a randomly selected group of laboratories. To produce more generally achievable SD's the 'pooled' SD's provided in this report include inter-laboratory bias. This 'one size fits all' approach may require revision at the discretion of the QC manager concerned following careful scrutiny of QC control charts.

The performance gates shown in Table 1 are intended only to be used as an initial guide to what a laboratory may be able to achieve. Over a period of time monitoring your own

laboratory's data for this CRM, SD's should be calculated directly from your own laboratory's process. This will enable you to establish more specific performance gates that are fit for purpose for your application as well as the ability to monitor bias. If your long-term trend analysis shows an average value that is within the 95% expanded uncertainty interval then generally there is no cause for concern in regard to bias.

## LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

## DOCUMENT HISTORY

Revision No.	Date	Changes applied
1	25 <sup>th</sup> August, 2025	Updated Comminution and Homogenisation Procedure
0	30 <sup>th</sup> November, 2022	First publication.

## QMS CERTIFICATION

ORE Pty Ltd is ISO 9001:2015 certified by Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd for its quality management system including development, manufacturing, certification and supply of CRMs.



## CERTIFYING OFFICER

25<sup>th</sup> August, 2025

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

## REFERENCES

- [1] Govett, G.J.S. (1983). Handbook of Exploration Geochemistry, Volume 2: Statistics and Data Analysis in Geochemical Prospecting (Variations of accuracy and precision).
- [2] ISO Guide 30:2015. Terms and definitions used in connection with reference materials.
- [3] ISO Guide 31:2015. Reference materials – Contents of certificates and labels.

- [4] ISO Guide 35:2017. Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals.
- [5] JCGM 100:2008; Evaluation of Measurement Data — Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM 1995 with Minor Corrections); Joint Committee for Guides in Metrology (JCGM) (2008); available at [www.bipm.org/utls/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM\\_100\\_2008\\_E.pdf](http://www.bipm.org/utls/common/documents/jcgm/JCGM_100_2008_E.pdf) (accessed Nov 2021), (*also known as ISO Guide 98-3:2008. Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement*).
- [6] ISO 16269-6:2014, Statistical interpretation of data – Determination of statistical tolerance intervals.
- [7] ISO/TR 16476:2016, Reference Materials – Establishing and expressing metrological traceability of quantity values assigned to reference materials.
- [8] ISO 17025:2017, General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.
- [9] ISO Guide 17034:2016. General requirements for the competence of reference material producers.
- [10] Munsell Rock Color Book (2014). Rock-Color Chart Committee, Geological Society of America (GSA), Minnesota (USA).
- [11] OREAS-BUP-70-09-11: Statistical Analysis - OREAS Evaluation Method.
- [12] OREAS-TN-04-1498: Stability under transport; an experimental study of OREAS CRMs.
- [13] OREAS-TN-05-1674: Long-term storage stability; an experimental study of OREAS CRMs.
- [14] Thompson, A.; Taylor, B.N.; Guide for the Use of the International System of Units (SI); NIST Special Publication 811; U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, DC (2008); available at: <https://physics.nist.gov/cuu/pdf/sp811.pdf> (accessed Nov 2021).
- [15] Van der Veen AMH and Pauwels, J. (2001), Accred Qual Assur 6: 290-294.